Complete tripartite subgraphs of balanced tripartite graphs with large minimum degree

Yihan Chen^{*} Jialin He[†] Allan Lo[‡] Cong Luo^{*} Jie Ma^{*}

Yi Zhao[§]

November 29, 2024

Abstract

In 1975 Bollobás, Erdős, and Szemerédi [4] asked what minimum degree guarantees an octahedral subgraph $K_3(2)$ in any tripartite graph G with n vertices in each vertex class. We show that $\delta(G) \geq n + 2n^{\frac{5}{6}}$ suffices thus improving the bound $n + (1 + o(1))n^{\frac{11}{12}}$ of Bhalkikar and Zhao [2] obtained by following the approach of [4]. Bollobás, Erdős, and Szemerédi conjectured that $n + cn^{\frac{1}{2}}$ suffices and there are many $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graphs G with $\delta(G) \geq n + cn^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We confirm this conjecture under the additional assumption that every vertex in G is adjacent to at least $(1/5 + \varepsilon)n$ vertices in any other vertex class.

AMS Subject Classification (2020): 05C15, 05C35

1 Introduction

As a foundation stone of extremal graph theory, the celebrated Turán's theorem in 1941 [14] determined the maximum size $ex(n, K_t)$ of graphs G of order n without K_t as a subgraph (the t = 3 case is also known as Mantel's theorem [11]). In 1974, Bollobás, Erdős, and Straus [3] obtained a Turán-type result that determined the maximum size of an r-partite graph not containing K_t as a subgraph for any $r \ge t$. Instead of considering the size, in 1975, Bollobás, Erdős, and Szemerédi [4] investigated the following minimum degree version of this problem.

Problem 1.1. Given integers n and $3 \le t \le r$, what is the largest minimum degree $\delta(G)$ among all r-partite graphs G with parts of size n and which do not contain a copy of K_t ?

The r = t case of Problem 1.1 has received a lot of attention and found applications in linear arboricity, hypergraph matching, list coloring, etc. Graver (see [4]) answered Problem 1.1 for r = t = 3 and Jin [8] solved it for r = t = 4, 5. The r = t case of Problem 1.1 was finally settled by Haxell and Szabó [7] and Szabó and Tardos [13]. Recently Lo, Treglown, and Zhao [10] solved many r > t cases of the problem, including when $r \equiv -1 \pmod{t-1}$ and $r \ge (3t-4)(t-2)$. For more related results, we refer interested readers to [1, 6, 7, 8, 13].

Let $G_r(n)$ be an (arbitrary) balanced r-partite graph with parts of size n, and let $K_r(s)$ denote the complete r-partite graph with parts of size s. In the same paper Bollobás, Erdős,

^{*}School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China.

[†]Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon 999077, Hong Kong.

[‡]School of Mathematics, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom.

[§]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303.

and Szemerédi [4] asked when $G_3(n)$ contains $K_3(2)$ (known as the *octahedral graph*) as a subgraph.

Problem 1.2. What minimum degree $\delta(G)$ in a graph $G = G_3(n)$ ensures a subgraph $K_3(2)$?

The authors of [4] conjectured that $\delta(G) \ge n + cn^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is sufficient for some constant c. They also stated that for $n \ge 2^8$, $\delta(G) \ge n + 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}n^{\frac{3}{4}}$ guarantees a $K_3(2)$, which follows from their result on $K_3(s)$ [4, Theorem 2.6]. Unfortunately, Bhalkikar and Zhao [2] found a miscalculation in the proof of [4, Theorem 2.6]. After correcting this error, they followed the approach in [4] and obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.3 ([2]). Given $s \ge 2$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and sufficiently large n, every graph $G = G_3(n)$ with $\delta(G) \ge n + (1 + \varepsilon)(s - 1)^{1/(3s^2)}n^{1-1/(3s^2)}$ contains a copy of $K_3(s)$. In particular, $\delta(G) \ge n + (1 + \varepsilon)n^{\frac{11}{12}}$ guarantees a $K_3(2)$.

In this paper, we establish several results towards Problem 1.2. First we improved Theorem 1.3 as follows.

Theorem 1.4. Given $s \ge 2$, $C = 2(s-1)^{\frac{1}{s+1}}$, and sufficiently large n, every graph $G = G_3(n)$ with $\delta(G) \ge n + Cn^{1-\frac{1}{s(s+1)}}$ contains a copy of $K_3(s)$. In particular, if $\delta(G) \ge n + 2n^{\frac{5}{6}}$, then G contains a $K_3(2)$.

Note that we can easily replace the constant 2 in C by 1+o(1) but use the current definition of C for simplicity.

Let V_1, V_2, V_3 be the three vertex classes of a graph $G = G_3(n)$. We call $\min\{d_G(v, V_i) : v \in V(G) \setminus V_i, i \in [3]\}$ the minimum partial degree of G, where $d_G(v, V_i)$ denotes the number of neighbors of v in V_i . Our next result shows that $G = G_3(n)$ contains a copy of $K_3(2)$ under the conjectured condition $\delta(G) \ge n + cn^{\frac{1}{2}}$ if, in addition, the minimum partial degree is at least $(1/5 + \varepsilon)n$.

Theorem 1.5. For every $c \ge 58$, there exists $n_0 = n_0(c)$ such that every tripartite graph $G = G_3(n)$ with $n \ge n_0$, $\delta(G) \ge n + 30^5 c^4 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and the minimum partial degree at least (1/5 + 7/c)n contains a $K_3(2)$.

Bhalkikar and Zhao [2] also constructed many non-isomorphic $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graphs with minimum degree at least $n + n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In Section 4 we construct new families of $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graphs with minimum degree at least $n + cn^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and reasonably large minimum partial degree. This shows that Problem 1.2 is difficult if $\delta(G) \ge n + cn^{\frac{1}{2}}$ suffices because it is hard to apply the stability method.

For a graph G, let T(G) denote the number of triangles in G. For $n, t \in \mathbb{N}$, let f(n, t) denote the minimum T(G) over all $G = G_3(n)$ of minimum degree $\delta(G)$ at least n+t. Bollobás, Erdős, and Szemerédi [4] also studied f(n, t). They showed that f(n, 1) = 4 for $n \ge 4$, and for general t, they proved $f(n, t) \ge t^3$. For $t \le n/5$, they constructed tripartite graphs $G = G_3(n)$ with $\delta(G) \ge n + t$ and $T(G) = 4t^3$, which implies $f(n, t) \le 4t^3$. They asked the following question.

Problem 1.6. For $t \le n/5$, is it true that $f(n,t) \ge 4t^3$?

We give a simple proof of $f(n,t) \ge n^2(3t-n)/2$, which improves $f(n,t) \ge t^3$ when $\frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{2}n \le t \le n$, and gives the exact value of f(n,t) for even n and $t \ge n/2$.

Proposition 1.7. For $1 \le t \le n$, $f(n,t) \ge n^2(3t-n)/2$ and equality holds if n is even and $t \ge n/2$.

1.1 Notation

Recall that $G = G_3(n)$ is an (arbitrary) balanced tripartite graph with parts V_1, V_2, V_3 such that $|V_i| = n$ for i = 1, 2, 3. Let V(G) denote the vertex set of G and E(G) denote the edge set of G. We consider the subscript of V_i to be modulo 3 with values 1, 2, 3, instead of 0, 1, 2.

Given $v \in V(G)$ we write N(v) for the neighborhood of v and define $d_G(v) = |N(v)|$ as the degree of v in G. Let $\delta(G)$ and e(G) denote the minimum degree and the number of edges of G respectively. We often view G as an oriented graph with edges directed from V_i to V_{i+1} . For $v \in V_i$ let $N_G^+(v)$ (resp. $N_G^-(v)$) be the set of vertices in V_{i+1} (resp. V_{i-1}) that are joined to v. Let $d_G^+(v) = |N_G^+(v)|$ and $\delta^+(G) = \min_{v \in V(G)} |N_G^+(v)|$. We define $d_G^-(v)$ and $\delta^-(G)$ analogously.

For $W \subseteq V(G)$ we define $d_G(v, W)$ as the number of edges between v and W.

For graphs G, H, G - H is the subgraph of G obtained by deleting edges in $E(G) \cap E(H)$. For $W \subseteq V(G)$, G[W] and $G \setminus W$ are the induced subgraphs of G on W and $V(G) \setminus W$, respectively. We write G[A, B] for the induced bipartite subgraph of G with parts A and B. We often write $\{x, y\}$ as xy; for $xy \in E(G)$, let $T_G(xy)$ denote the number of triangles in Gthat contain x and y.

Finally, let [n] denote the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a set X and an integer s, we write $\binom{X}{s}$ for the set of all s-element subsets of X. We omit floors and ceilings whenever this does not affect the argument.

1.2 Organization of paper

In Sections 2 we prove Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 1.7. In Section 3 we present the proof of Theorem 1.5. In Section 4 we give multiple constructions of $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graphs with minimum degree at least $n + cn^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and large minimum partial degree.

2 Proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 1.7

Let us denote by z(m, n; s, s) the Zarankiewicz number, which is the maximum number of edges in a bipartite graph G = (U, V; E) with |U| = m and |V| = n containing no copy of $K_{s,s}$. The following well-known result on z(m, n; s, s) was proved by Kövári, Sós and Turán [9].

Lemma 2.1 ([9]). For $s, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $z(m, n; s, s) \leq (s-1)^{\frac{1}{s}} m n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + (s-1)n$.

Next we prove Theorem 1.4 by double counting and Lemma 2.1. This approach is similar to the one used in [4, 2] and our improvement on $\delta(G)$ is due to the counting of triangles xyz with $x \in T_1$ and $y \in T_x$ instead of $x \in V_1$ and $y \in V_3$ as in [4, 2].

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $t = Cn^{1-\frac{1}{s(s+1)}}$, where $C = 2(s-1)^{\frac{1}{s+1}}$. Let $d_G^+(S) = \sum_{x \in S} d_G^+(x)$ for any set $S \subseteq V(G)$. Without loss of generality, suppose that there is a subset $T_1 \subseteq V_1$ of size t satisfying

$$d_G^+(T_1) = \max\{d_G^+(T) \colon |T| = t, T \subseteq V_i, i \in [3]\}.$$

For $x \in V_1$, let $T_x \subset V_3$ be an arbitrary t-element subset of $N_G^-(x)$. Note that $\delta(G) \ge n + t$ guarantees that T_x exists. For an edge xy, let T(xy) be the number of triangles containing xy. Since $d^+(y) + d^-(y) \ge n + t$ for any $y \in V(G)$, we have

$$\sum_{x \in T_1, y \in T_x} T(xy) \ge \sum_{x \in T_1} \sum_{y \in T_x} \left(d_G^+(x) + d_G^-(y) - n \right) \ge \sum_{x \in T_1} \sum_{y \in T_x} \left(t + d_G^+(x) - d_G^+(y) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in T_1} \left(t^2 + t d_G^+(x) - d_G^+(T_x) \right) = t^3 + t d_G^+(T_1) - \sum_{x \in T_1} d_G^+(T_x).$$

Using the maximality of $d_G^+(T_1)$, we derive that

$$\sum_{x \in T_1, y \in T_x} T(xy) \ge t^3 + td_G^+(T_1) - td_G^+(T_1) = t^3.$$

For any s distinct vertices $z_1, \ldots, z_s \in V_2$, let

$$\mathcal{T}(z_1,\ldots,z_s) = \{xy \in E(G) : x \in T_1, y \in T_x, G[\{x,y,z_i\}] \text{ is an triangle for all } i \in [s]\}.$$

By double counting and convexity, we have

$$\sum_{\{z_1,\dots,z_s\}\in\binom{V_2}{s}} |\mathcal{T}(z_1,\dots,z_s)| = \sum_{x\in T_1,y\in T_x} \binom{T(xy)}{s} \ge t^2 \binom{\frac{1}{t^2}\sum_{x\in T_1,y\in T_x} T(xy)}{s} \ge t^2 \binom{t}{s}.$$

By averaging, there exist s distinct vertices $z_1, \ldots, z_s \in V_2$ such that

$$|\mathcal{T}(z_1,\ldots,z_s)| \ge \frac{t^2 \binom{t}{s}}{\binom{n}{s}} \ge \frac{t^{s+2}}{2^s n^s} = \frac{C^{s+1}}{2^s} t n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} > \left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^{s+1} \left(t n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + n\right)$$
$$\ge (s-1)t n^{1-\frac{1}{s}} + (s-1)n > z(t,n;s,s)$$

by Lemma 2.1. Thus, the bipartite graph on $T_1 \cup V_3$ with the edge set $\mathcal{T}(z_1, \ldots, z_s)$ contains a copy of $K_{s,s}$. Together with z_1, \ldots, z_s , this gives the desired copy of $K_3(s)$ in G.

We next prove Proposition 1.7.

Proof of Proposition 1.7. Let $G = G_3(n)$ with $\delta(G) \ge n + t$. Let \overline{G} be the tripartite complement graph of G, that is $K_3(n) - G$, where $K_3(n)$ has the same vertex classes as G. Note that $\Delta(\overline{G}) \le n - t$ and $e(\overline{G}) \le 3n(n-t)/2$. Since each edge is in at most n triangles, so

$$T(G) \ge T(K_3(n)) - ne(\overline{G}) \ge n^3 - \frac{3n^2(n-t)}{2} = \frac{1}{2}n^2(3t-n).$$

Thus we have $f(n,t) \ge n^2(3t-n)/2$.

Suppose that n is even and $t \ge n/2$. Let $A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2, A_3, B_3$ be disjoint vertex sets each of size n/2. For $i \in [3]$, let $V_i = A_i \cup B_i$. Let H be a tripartite graph with vertex classes V_1, V_2, V_3 such that $H = \bigcup_{i \in [3]} H[A_{i+1}, B_i]$ and each $H[A_{i+1}, B_i]$ is (n-t)-regular. Let G be the tripartite complement graph of H, so G is (n + t)-regular. Since no triangle in $K_3(n)$ contains two edges of H, by the calculation above we have $T(G) = n^2(3t - n)/2$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.5

We now sketch the proof of Theorem 1.5. Suppose that G is $K_3(2)$ -free with minimum partial degree $\beta n \geq (1/5 + 7/c)n$. Using the fact that $\delta^+(G) \geq \beta n$, we show that G contains a blow-up of C_6 with parts of size $\beta n + o(n)$ (Lemma 3.2). Moreover, for each vertex v in this C_6 -blow-up, we have $d^+(v) \leq \beta n + o(n)$ and $d^-(v) \geq n - \beta n + o(n)$. Similarly, by $\delta^-(G) \geq \beta n$, we obtain another C_6 -blow-up with similar properties. If these two C_6 -blow-ups intersect,

then it leads to a contradiction immediately. Otherwise, we use Lemma 3.3 to deduce that G contains a $K_3(2)$ and thus complete the proof.

We begin with a definition. Recall that $G = G_3(n)$ is viewed as an oriented graph with edges from V_i to V_{i+1} for $i \in [3]$. For $v \in V(G)$ and $\alpha > 0$, let

$$\begin{split} D^+_{G,\alpha}(v) &= \left\{ w \in N^+_G(v) : T(vw) \geq \alpha n \right\}, \\ \widetilde{D}^-_{G,\alpha}(v) &= \left\{ w \in N^-_G(v) : T(vw) \geq \alpha n \right\}. \end{split}$$

The following lemma shows that only a small number of vertices $w \in V(G)$ can have large $\widetilde{D}^+_{G,\alpha}(w)$ or $\widetilde{D}^-_{G,\alpha}(w)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G = G_3(n)$ and k > 1. Suppose that for some $i \in [3]$, there exists a vertex subset $W_i \subseteq V_i$ of size $|W_i| \ge k^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ such that either $|\widetilde{D}^+_{G,2/k}(w)| \ge k^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $w \in W_i$ or $|\widetilde{D}^-_{G,2/k}(w)| \ge k^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $w \in W_i$. Then G contains a $K_3(2)$.

Proof. We only prove the $|\widetilde{D}_{G,2/k}^+(w)| \ge k^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ case because the proof of the $|\widetilde{D}_{G,2/k}^-(w)| \ge k^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ case is similar. Without loss of generality, we can assume $|W_i| = k^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For each $w \in W_i$, let $W(w) \subseteq \widetilde{D}_{G,2/k}^+(w)$ be of size $k^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Define an auxiliary bipartite graph H with parts W_i and $V_{i+1} \times V_{i+2}$ such that for $w \in W_i$ and $(v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}) \in V_{i+1} \times V_{i+2}$, $w(v_{i+1}, v_{i+2})$ is an edge of H if $v_{i+1} \in W(w)$ and $wv_{i+1}v_{i+2}$ forms a triangle in G. For all $w \in W_i$, $d_H(w) \ge k^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (2/k)n = 2kn^{\frac{3}{2}}$, so $e(H) \ge 2k^3n^2$. Thus

$$\sum_{\{w_i,w_i'\}\in\binom{W_i}{2}} |N_H(w_i) \cap N_H(w_i')| = \sum_{\substack{(v_{i+1},v_{i+2})\in V_{i+1}\times V_{i+2}\\}} \binom{d_H\left((v_{i+1},v_{i+2})\right)}{2}$$
$$\geq |V_{i+1}\times V_{i+2}|\binom{e(H)/|V_{i+1}\times V_{i+2}|}{2} \geq n^2\binom{2k^3}{2} \geq k^6n^2.$$

By averaging, there exists $\{w_i, w'_i\} \in {\binom{W_i}{2}}$ with $|N_H(w_i) \cap N_H(w'_i)| > 2k^2n$. Note that $N_H(w_i) \cap N_H(w'_i)$ can be viewed as a subgraph of $G[W(w_i), V_{i+2}]$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$z\left(k^{2}n^{\frac{1}{2}}, n; 2, 2\right) \le k^{2}n + n < |N_{H}(w_{i}) \cap N_{H}(w_{i}')|,$$

implying $K_3(2) \subseteq G$.

Now we introduce two lemmas and postpone their proofs to the next two subsections. The first lemma gives the structure of $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graph with $\delta(G) \ge n$ and linear partial degree.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and n be sufficiently large. Suppose $G = G_3(n)$ is a tripartite graph with $\delta(G) \ge n$ and $\delta^+(G) \ge 2\varepsilon n$. Further, assume that $T(uv) \le \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{30}\right)^2 n$ for all edges uv in G. Then either G contains a $K_3(2)$ or there exists a partition $\mathcal{P} = \{W_1, \ldots, W_6, U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ of V(G) such that for $i \in [6]$ and $j \in [3]$,

- (a) $W_j, W_{j+3}, U_j \subseteq V_j;$
- (b) $\delta^+(G) \varepsilon n \le |W_i| \le \delta^+(G) + \varepsilon n;$
- (c) for all $w \in W_i$, $S \in \{W_{i-1}, W_{i+1}, U_{i-1}\}$ and $S' \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{W_{i-1}, W_{i+1}, U_{i-1}\}$ (the subscript of W_i is modulo 6 with values $1, \ldots, 6$ while the subscript of U_i is modulo 3 with values 1, 2, 3), we have $d_G(w, S) \ge |S| \varepsilon n$, and $d_G(w, S') \le \varepsilon n$;

(d) for all $w \in W_i$, $d_G^+(w) \le \delta^+(G) + \varepsilon n$ and $d_G^-(w) \ge n - \delta^+(G) - \varepsilon n$.

The second lemma deals with the case when G contains two C_6 blow-ups.

Lemma 3.3. Let $1 < c \leq n^{\frac{1}{6}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $G = G_3(n)$ be a tripartite graph with $\delta(G) \geq n + 28c^2n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let d = d(c,n) be a non-negative integer such that $\delta^+(G) \geq d$ and $3\delta^+(G) + 2d \ge n + 26c^{-1}n$. Suppose that there exist disjoint vertex sets $W_1, \ldots, W_6, X_1, \ldots, X_6$ (where X_i can be empty for $i \in [6]$ and the subscripts of X_i are modulo 6) such that

(i) for all $j \in [3]$, $W_j, W_{j+3}, X_j, X_{j+3} \subseteq V_j$;

(ii) for all $i \in [6]$, $\delta^+(G) - c^{-1}n \le |W_i| \le \delta^+(G) + c^{-1}n$ and $d - c^{-1}n \le |X_i| \le d + c^{-1}n$; (iii) for all $i \in [6]$, $w \in W_i$ and $x \in X_i$, we have

$$d(w,S) \ge |S| - c^{-1}n \qquad \text{if } S \in \{W_{i-1}, W_{i+1}, X_{i-1}, X_{i-4}\},\$$

$$d(x,S) \ge |S| - c^{-1}n \qquad \text{if } S \in \{X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, W_{i+1}, W_{i+4}\}.$$

Then G contains a $K_3(2)$.



Figure 1: Graph of Lemma 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. This proof of the theorem actually proves the following slightly stronger statement: For every $c \geq 58$, there exists $n_0 = n_0(c)$ such that every tripartite graph $G = G_3(n)$ with $n \ge n_0$, $\delta(G) \ge n + 30^5 c^4 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$2\delta^{+}(G) + 2\delta^{-}(G) + \max\{\delta^{+}(G), \delta^{-}(G)\} \ge (1 + 35c^{-1})n$$
(3.1)

contains a $K_3(2)$.

Suppose to the contrary that there exists a $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graph $G = G_3(n)$ satisfies the conditions above. Let $\alpha = (35c)^{-2}$ and \widetilde{G} be the spanning subgraph of G with $E(\widetilde{G}) =$ $\{uv \in E(G): T(uv) \leq \alpha n\}$. For $i \in [3]$, let S_i^+ (and S_i^-) be a subset $S \subseteq V_i$ of size $4\alpha^{-2}n^{1/2}$ with $\sum_{v \in S} d^+_{G-\widetilde{G}}(v)$ (and $\sum_{v \in S} d^-_{G-\widetilde{G}}(v)$, respectivley) maximal. By Lemma 3.1 with $k = 2/\alpha$, we deduce that, for any $v \in V_i \setminus (S_i^+ \cup S_i^-)$, we have

$$d^+_{\widetilde{G}}(v) \ge d^+_{G}(v) - 4\alpha^{-2}n^{1/2}$$
 and $d^-_{\widetilde{G}}(v) \ge d^-_{G}(v) - 4\alpha^{-2}n^{1/2}$. (3.2)

Let $G' = \widetilde{G} \setminus \bigcup_{i \in [3]} (S_i^+ \cup S_i^-)$. Clearly, G' is a tripartite graph with parts of size n' = $n - 8\alpha^{-2}n^{1/2}$. By (3.2),

$$\delta^+(G') \ge \delta^+(\widetilde{G}) - 8\alpha^{-2}n^{1/2} > \delta^+(G) - 12\alpha^{-2}n^{1/2}$$

and analogously $\delta^{-}(G') \geq \delta^{-}(G) - 12\alpha^{-2}n^{1/2}$. Using (3.2) and $\alpha = (35c)^{-2}$, we obtain that

$$\delta(G') \ge \delta(G) - 24\alpha^{-2}n^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge n + 30^5c^4n^{\frac{1}{2}} - 24(35c)^4n^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge n' + 28c^2n'^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\delta^+(G') \geq \delta^-(G')$ (otherwise we reverse the direction of G'). We claim that

$$3\delta^+(G') + 2\delta^-(G') \ge n' + 30c^{-1}n'.$$
(3.3)

Indeed, if $\delta^+(G) \geq \delta^-(G)$, then we have

$$3\delta^{+}(G') + 2\delta^{-}(G') \ge 3\delta^{+}(G) + 2\delta^{-}(G) - 60\alpha^{-2}n^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\stackrel{(3.1)}{\ge} (1 + 35c^{-1})n - 60\alpha^{-2}n^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge (1 + 30c^{-1})n'$$

as n is sufficiently large. If $\delta^-(G) \ge \delta^+(G)$, then, since $\delta^+(G') \ge \delta^-(G')$, we have

$$3\delta^{+}(G') + 2\delta^{-}(G') \ge 3\delta^{-}(G') + 2\delta^{+}(G') \ge 3\delta^{-}(G) + 2\delta^{+}(G) - 60\alpha^{-2}n^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\stackrel{(3.1)}{\ge} (1 + 30c^{-1})n'.$$

Hence (3.3) holds.

Now we prove that G' contains a $K_3(2)$, which contradicts our assumption that G is $K_3(2)$ -free. Let V'_1, V'_2, V'_3 be the three vertex classes of G'. Note that $T_{G'}(uv) \leq T_{\widetilde{G}}(uv) \leq \alpha n = n/(35c)^2 \leq n'/(30c)^2$ for all $uv \in E(G')$. By (3.3), $\delta^+(G') \geq n'/5$. By Lemma 3.2 with $\varepsilon = c^{-1}, V(G')$ can be partitioned into $W_1, \ldots, W_6, U_1, U_2, U_3$ of such that, for $i \in [6]$,

- (a) $W_i, U_i \subseteq V'_{i \pmod{3}};$
- (b) $\delta^+(G') c^{-1}n' \le |W_i| \le \delta^+(G') + c^{-1}n';$
- (c) for all $w \in W_i$ and $S \in \{W_{i-1}, W_{i+1}, U_{i-1}\}, d_{G'}(w, S) \ge |S| c^{-1}n';$ (d) for all $w \in W_i, d_{G'}^+(w) \le \delta^+(G') + c^{-1}n'$ and $d_{G'}^-(w) \ge n' \delta^+(G') c^{-1}n'.$

If $\delta^+(G') \ge (n'+26c^{-1}n')/3$, then we apply Lemma 3.3 with d=0 and $X_i = \emptyset$ for all $i \in [6]$ and obtain a $K_3(2)$ in G', a contradiction.

If $\delta^{-}(G') \leq \delta^{+}(G') < (n' + 26c^{-1}n')/3$, then by (3.3), we have

$$\delta^{-}(G') \geq \frac{1}{2}(n' + 30c^{-1}n' - 3\delta^{+}(G')) \geq \frac{1}{2}(n' + 30c^{-1}n' - (n' + 26c^{-1}n')) \geq 2c^{-1}n'.$$

By reversing the direction of G' and applying Lemma 3.2 with $\varepsilon = c^{-1}$ and noting $\delta^{-}(G') \geq c^{-1}$ $2c^{-1}n'$, we obtain a partition $W'_1, \ldots, W'_6, U'_1, U'_2, U'_3$ of V(G') such that, for $i \in [6]$,

- (e) $W'_i, U'_i \subseteq V'_{5-i \pmod{3}};$ (f) $\delta^-(G') c^{-1}n' \leq |W'_i| \leq \delta^-(G') + c^{-1}n';$
- (g) for all $w' \in W'_i$ and $S \in \{W'_{i-1}, W'_{i+1}, U'_{i-1}\}, d_{G'}(w', S) \ge |S| c^{-1}n';$ (h) for all $w' \in W'_i, d_{G'}^-(w') \le \delta^-(G') + c^{-1}n'$ and $d_{G'}^+(w') \ge n' \delta^-(G') c^{-1}n'.$

Let $X_i = W'_{8-i \pmod{6}}$ for $i \in [6]$. This and (e) together imply that $X_i \subseteq V'_{i \pmod{3}}$. We claim that $W_1, \ldots, W_6, X_1, \ldots, X_6$ are pairwise disjoint. Indeed, suppose to the contrary that there exists a vertex $v \in (W_i \cup W_{i+3}) \cap (X_i \cup X_{i+3})$ for some $i \in [3]$. Then, by (d) and (h), we have

$$n' - \delta^{-}(G') - c^{-1}n' \le d_{G'}^{+}(v) \le \delta^{+}(G') + c^{-1}n',$$

which implies that $\delta^+(G') + \delta^-(G') \ge n' - 2c^{-1}n'$, contradicting $\delta^-(G') \le \delta^+(G') < (n' + 26c^{-1}n')/3$ as $c^{-1} \le 58$.

Let $d = \delta^-(G')$. It is easy to see that all the assumptions of Lemma 3.3 hold, for example, (iii) holds because of (c) and (g), and fact that $W_i \subseteq U'_{i \pmod{3}}$ and $W'_i \subseteq U_{i \pmod{3}}$ for $i \in [6]$. We can thus obtain a $K_3(2)$ in G' by applying Lemma 3.3. This contradicts our assumption and completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

3.1 Proof of Lemma 3.2

We begin with a simple proposition.

Proposition 3.4. Let $0 \le \lambda \le 1/10$ and G be a bipartite graph with vertex classes A and B. Suppose that for all $a \in A$, $d_G(a) \ge (1 - \lambda)|B|$. Then there exists a subset $B' \subseteq B$ of size $|B'| \ge (1 - 5\lambda)|B|$ such that for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B'$, $d_G(a, B') \ge (1 - 2\lambda)|B'|$ and $d_G(b, A) \ge 4|A|/5$.

Proof. Let $B' = \{b \in B : d_G(b) \ge 4|A|/5\}$. Note that

$$|A||B'| + \frac{4}{5}|A||B \setminus B'| \ge \sum_{b \in B} d_G(b) = e(G) = \sum_{a \in A} d_G(a) \ge (1 - \lambda)|B||A|.$$

This implies $|B'| \ge (1-5\lambda)|B| \ge |B|/2$. Clearly $d_G(a, B') \ge |B'| - \lambda|B| \ge (1-2\lambda)|B'|$, and the result follows.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Suppose G is $K_3(2)$ -free. Let $\alpha = (\frac{\varepsilon}{30})^2$. Without loss of generality, we will assume that there is an $a_0 \in V_3$ with $d^+(a_0) = \delta^+(G) = \beta n$. Furthermore, for all $vw \in E(G)$ with $v \in V_{i-1}$ and $w \in V_i$, we have

$$\alpha n \ge T(vw) = |N(w) \cap N(v)| \ge d^+(w) + d^-(v) - n = d(w) - d^-(w) + d^-(v) - n$$

$$\ge \delta(G) - d^-(w) + d^-(v) - n \ge d^-(v) - d^-(w),$$

$$d^-(w) \ge d^-(v) - \alpha n.$$
(3.4)

Since we can view G as an oriented graph with direction from V_i to V_{i+1} and $\delta^+(G) = \beta n$, we can find a directed path $P = a_0 a_1 \dots a_{12}$ of length 12 in G. Let $A_0 = \{a_0\}$ and $A_i = N^+(a_{i-1})$ for $i \in [12]$. Note that $a_i \in A_i$.

Claim 3.5. The sets A_i 's satisfy the following properties.

(i) For $i \in [12]$, we have $|A_i| \ge \beta n$.

- (ii) For $i \in \{0, 1, ..., 12\}$ and $v \in A_i$, we have $d^-(v) \ge (1 \beta i\alpha)n$.
- (iii) For $i \in \{0, ..., 11\}$ and $v \in A_i$, we have $d(v, A_{i+1}) \ge |A_{i+1}| (i+1)\alpha n \ge (\beta (i+1)\alpha)n$ and $d^+(v) \le (\beta + i\alpha)n$. In particular, for $i \in [12]$, $|A_i| \le d^+(a_{i-1}) \le (\beta + (i-1)\alpha)n$.
- (iv) For $i \in \{0, 1, ..., 10\}$ and $v \in A_i$, we have $d^-(v, A_{i+2}) \le (i+3)\alpha n$.
- (v) For $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$, $|A_i \cap A_{i+3}| \le 5\sqrt{\alpha}n$.
- (vi) For $i \in \{0, 1, ..., 10\}$ and $v \in A_i$, we have $|V_{i-1} \setminus (A_{i+2} \cup N^-(v))| \le (2i+3)\alpha n$.

(vii) For $i \in \{1, ..., 6\}$, $|A_i \cap A_{i+6}| \ge |A_i| - 8\sqrt{\alpha}n \ge (\beta - 8\sqrt{\alpha})n$.

Proof of claim. We have $|A_i| = d^+(a_{i-1}) \ge \delta^+(G) = \beta n$ giving (i).

We prove (ii) by induction on *i*. If i = 0 then using $\beta n = d^+(a_0)$, we have

$$d^{-}(a_0) = d(a_0) - d^{+}(a_0) \ge n - \beta n = (1 - \beta)n.$$

So we may assume $i \in [12]$. For $v \in A_i$, note that $a_{i-1}v \in E(G)$. Together with (3.4), we have

$$d^{-}(v) \ge d^{-}(a_{i-1}) - \alpha n \ge (1 - \beta - (i - 1)\alpha)n - \alpha n = (1 - \beta - i\alpha)n.$$

Hence (ii) holds.

For $i \in \{0, \ldots, 11\}$ and $v \in A_i$, we first show $d^+(v) \leq (\beta + i\alpha)n$ by using (ii). We know $d^+(a_0) = \beta n$. For $i \in [11]$, since $v \in A_i = N^+(a_{i-1})$, we have $|N^+(v) \cap N^-(a_{i-1})| = T(va_{i-1}) \leq \alpha n$. Consequently,

$$d^{+}(v) \leq |N^{+}(v) \cap N^{-}(a_{i-1})| + |V_{i+1} \setminus N^{-}(a_{i-1})| \leq \alpha n + (\beta + (i-1)\alpha)n = (\beta + i\alpha)n.$$

This implies that $|A_i| \leq d^+(a_{i-1}) \leq (\beta + (i-1)\alpha)n$ for $i \in [12]$.

Next we show $d(v, A_{i+1}) \ge |A_{i+1}| - (i+1)\alpha n \ge (\beta - (i+1)\alpha)n$ for $v \in A_i$ and $i \in \{0, ..., 11\}$. First, $d(a_0, A_1) = |A_1| > (\beta - \alpha)n$. Note that

$$|V_{i+1} \setminus (N^{-}(a_{i-1}) \cup N^{+}(v))| = |V_{i+1} \setminus N^{-}(a_{i-1})| - |N^{+}(v) \setminus N^{-}(a_{i-1}))|$$

$$\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} (\beta + (i-1)\alpha)n - d^{+}(v) + T(a_{i-1}v)$$

$$\leq (\beta + (i-1)\alpha)n - \delta^{+}(G) + \alpha n = i\alpha n.$$
(3.5)

It follows that

$$d(v, A_{i+1}) \ge |A_{i+1}| - |A_{i+1} \cap N^{-}(a_{i-1})| - |A_{i+1} \setminus (N^{-}(a_{i-1}) \cup N^{+}(v))|$$

$$\ge |A_{i+1}| - T(a_{i}a_{i-1}) - |V_{i+1} \setminus (N^{-}(a_{i-1}) \cup N^{+}(v))|$$

$$\stackrel{(3.5)}{\ge} |A_{i+1}| - \alpha n - i\alpha n \stackrel{(i)}{\ge} (\beta - (i+1)\alpha)n.$$

Hence (iii) holds.

Suppose $i \in \{0, 1, ..., 10\}$ and $v \in A_i$. Let $w \in N^+(v, A_{i+1})$, which exists because (iii) implies that $d^+(v, A_{i+1}) \ge |A_{i+1}| - (i+1)\alpha n > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha n &\geq T(vw) = |N^{-}(v) \cap N^{+}(w)| \geq |N^{-}(v) \cap N^{+}(w) \cap A_{i+2}| \\ &\geq d^{-}(v, A_{i+2}) + d^{+}(w, A_{i+2}) - |A_{i+2}| \\ &\stackrel{\text{(iii)}}{\geq} d^{-}(v, A_{i+2}) + (|A_{i+2}| - (i+2)\alpha n) - |A_{i+2}| = d^{-}(v, A_{i+2}) - (i+2)\alpha n. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $d^{-}(v, A_{i+2}) \leq (i+3)\alpha n$, confirming (iv).

By (i) and (iii), for all $v \in A_{i+2}$, we have

$$d^+(v, A_{i+3}) > |A_{i+3}| - (i+3)\alpha n \ge (1-\sqrt{\alpha})|A_{i+3}|,$$

where use the assumption that $\alpha = (\varepsilon/30)^2$ and $\beta \ge 30\sqrt{\alpha}$. By applying Proposition 3.4 on $G[A_{i+2}, A_{i+3}]$ we have a subset $A'_{i+3} \subseteq A_{i+3}$ with size $(1 - 5\sqrt{\alpha})|A_{i+3}|$ such that, for all $w \in A'_{i+3}$,

$$d(w, A_{i+2}) \ge \frac{4}{5}|A_{i+2}| > (i+3)\alpha n.$$

Since $d(v, A_{i+2}) \leq (i+3)\alpha n$ for all $v \in A_i$ by (iv), it follows that $A_i \cap A_{i+3} \subseteq A_{i+3} \setminus A'_{i+3}$. Therefore, $|A_i \cap A_{i+3}| \leq 5\sqrt{\alpha}|A_{i+3}| \leq 5\sqrt{\alpha}n$ confirming (v).

For all $v \in A_i$,

$$d^{-}(v, V_{i-1} \setminus A_{i+2}) = d^{-}(v) - d^{-}(v, A_{i+2}) \stackrel{\text{(ii)},(\text{iv)}}{\geq} (1 - \beta - i\alpha)n - (i+3)\alpha n$$
$$= (1 - \beta - (2i+3)\alpha)n \stackrel{\text{(i)}}{\geq} |V_{i-1}| - |A_{i+2}| - (2i+3)\alpha n$$
$$= |V_{i-1} \setminus A_{i+2}| - (2i+3)\alpha n.$$

Hence $|V_{i-1} \setminus (A_{i+2} \cup N^-(v))| \le (2i+3)\alpha n$ and (vi) holds.

Finally, for $0 \le i \le 6$ and $v \in A_i$, we have

$$d^{-}(v, A_{i+5}) \ge d^{-}(v, A_{i+5} \setminus A_{i+2}) \ge |A_{i+5} \setminus A_{i+2}| - |V_{i-1} \setminus (A_{i+2} \cup N^{-}(v))|$$

$$\stackrel{(v), (vi)}{\ge} |A_{i+5}| - 5\sqrt{\alpha}n - (2i+3)\alpha n \ge |A_{i+5}| - 6\sqrt{\alpha}n.$$

Hence there exists a vertex $w \in A_{i+5}$ such that

$$d^{+}(w, A_{i}) \geq \frac{e(A_{i}, A_{i+5})}{|A_{i+5}|} \geq \frac{|A_{i}|(|A_{i+5}| - 6\sqrt{\alpha}n)}{|A_{i+5}|} = |A_{i}| - \frac{|A_{i}|}{|A_{i+5}|} 6\sqrt{\alpha}n \geq |A_{i}| - 7\sqrt{\alpha}n,$$

where the last inequality holds because $|A_{i+5}| \ge \beta n$ and $|A_i| \le (\beta + (i-1)\alpha)n$ by (i) and (iii), and consequently, $\frac{|A_i|}{|A_{i+1}|} \le \frac{\beta+5\alpha}{\beta} < \frac{7}{6}$ by our assumption on α and β . Therefore,

$$|A_{i} \cap A_{i+6}| \ge |A_{i} \cap A_{i+6} \cap N^{+}(w)| \ge d^{+}(w, A_{i}) + d^{+}(w, A_{i+6}) - d^{+}(w)$$

$$\stackrel{(\text{iiii})}{>} |A_{i}| - 7\sqrt{\alpha}n + (\beta - (i+6)\alpha)n - (\beta + (i+5)\alpha)n \ge |A_{i}| - 8\sqrt{\alpha}n$$

confirming (vii).

Now we come back to the proof of the lemma. For $i \in [6]$, let $W_i = (A_i \cap A_{i+6}) \setminus A_{i+3}$. If there exists some $i \in [3]$ such that $|W_i| + |W_{i+3}| > n$, then this contradicts the fact that $W_i, W_{i+3} \subseteq V_i$ and implies that G contains a $K_3(2)$. Otherwise, for $j \in [3]$, let $U_j = V_j \setminus (W_j \cup W_{j+3})$. Since $W_{j+3} \subseteq A_{j+3}$ and $W_j \cap A_{j+3} = \emptyset$, we have W_j, W_{j+3} , and U_j are pairwise disjoint subsets of V_j , in particular, (a) holds. Hence $\mathcal{P} = \{W_1, \ldots, W_6, U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ is a partition of V(G).

By Claim 3.5 (iii), (v) and (vii), for $i \in [6]$, we have

$$(\beta - 13\sqrt{\alpha})n \le |A_i \cap A_{i+6}| - |A_i \cap A_{i+3}|$$

$$\le |W_i| \le |A_i| \le (\beta + 5\alpha)n.$$
(3.6)

Consequently, $(1 - 2\beta - 10\alpha)n \le |U_j| \le (1 - 2\beta + 26\sqrt{\alpha})n$. Since $\varepsilon = 30\sqrt{\alpha}$, (b) holds.

Consider $i \in [6]$ and $v \in W_i$. Trivially, $d(v, W_{i+3}) = 0 = d(v, U_i)$ by (a). By Claim 3.5 (v) and (vii), we have $|W_{i+1}| \ge |A_{i+1}| - 13\sqrt{\alpha}n$ and thus

$$d(v, W_{i+1}) \ge d(v, A_{i+1}) - 13\sqrt{\alpha}n \stackrel{\text{(iii)}}{>} |A_{i+1}| - (i+1)\alpha n - 13\sqrt{\alpha}n \\\ge |W_{i+1}| - 7\alpha n - 13\sqrt{\alpha}n \ge (\beta - 27\sqrt{\alpha})n.$$
(3.7)

Together with Claim 3.5 (iii), this implies that

$$d(v, W_{i+4}) + d_G(v, U_{i+1}) = d^+(v) - d(v, W_{i+1}) \le (\beta + i\alpha)n - (\beta - 27\sqrt{\alpha})n \le 28\sqrt{\alpha}n.$$

By Claim 3.5 (iv),

$$d(v, W_{i+2}) \le d^{-}(v, A_{i+2}) \le (i+3)\alpha n \le 9\alpha n.$$

Together with Claim 3.5 (ii), this implies that

$$d_G(v, W_{i-1}) + d_G(v, U_{i-1}) = d_G^-(v) - d_G(v, W_{i+2}) \ge (1 - \beta - i\alpha)n - 9\alpha n$$

$$\ge (1 - \beta - 15\alpha)n \ge n - |W_{i+2}| - 14\sqrt{\alpha}n$$

$$= |W_{i-1}| + |U_{i-1}| - 14\sqrt{\alpha}n.$$

Therefore, (c) holds. Finally, since $v \in W_i \subseteq A_i$, we have $d^+(v) \leq (\beta + i\alpha)n \leq (\beta + 6\alpha)n$ by Claim 3.5 (ii), and $d^-(v) \geq (1 - \beta - i\alpha)n \geq (1 - \beta - 6\alpha)n$ by Claim 3.5 (ii). Thus (d) holds. This completes the proof of the lemma.

3.2 Proof of Lemma 3.3

Proof of Lemma 3.3. For $i \in [3]$, let $R_i = V_i \setminus (W_i \cup W_{i+3} \cup X_i \cup X_{i+3})$. By (ii), we have $|R_i| \leq n - 2\delta^+(G) - 2d + 4c^{-1}n$. Suppose to the contrary that G is $K_3(2)$ -free. We first prove the following claim.

Claim 3.6. There exist disjoint vertex subsets $W_1^*, \ldots, W_6^*, X_1^*, \ldots, X_6^*$ such that

- (i') for all $i \in [6]$, $W_i \subseteq W_i^* \subseteq V_i$, $X_i \subseteq X_i^* \subseteq V_i$;
- (ii') for all $v \in W_i^*$, $i \in [6]$ and $j \in \{i+1, i-1\}$, $d(v, W_j) \ge 3c^{-1}n$. For all $v \in X_i^*$, $i \in [6]$ and $j \in \{i+1, i-2\}$, $d(v, W_j) \ge 3c^{-1}n$;
- (iii') $|V(G) \setminus \bigcup_{i \in [6]} (W_i^* \cup X_i^*)| \le 24c^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}.$

Proof of claim. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{W_i, X_i : i \in [6]\}$. For all $v \in R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3$, we define $I_v = \{A \in \mathcal{V} : d(v, A) \ge 3c^{-1}n\}$. Let

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\{W_i, W_{i+1}\}, \{X_i, X_{i+1}\}, \{W_{i-2}, X_i\}, \{W_{i+1}, X_i\} : i \in [6]\}$$

be a family of 24 pairs of \mathcal{V} . For every $\{A, B\} \in \mathcal{E}$, we claim that at most $c^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ vertices $v \in R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3$ satisfy $\{A, B\} \subseteq I_v$ and call such vertices *bad*. Indeed, for $i \in [6]$, let Y_i be the set of vertices $v \in R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3$ such that $\{W_i, W_{i+1}\} \subseteq I_v$ (the argument for other pairs of \mathcal{E} is similar). Note that $Y_i \subseteq V_{i+2}$. For all $v \in Y_i$, by the definition of I_v we have $d(v, W_i) \geq 3c^{-1}n$ and $d(v, W_{i+1}) \geq 3c^{-1}n$. Then at least $3c^{-1}n$ vertices $w \in N_G(v, W_i) \subseteq N_G^+(v)$ satisfy

$$T(vw) \ge |N_G(v, W_{i+1}) \cap N_G(w, W_{i+1})| \stackrel{\text{(iii)}}{\ge} 3c^{-1}n - c^{-1}n = 2c^{-1}n$$

implying that $|\widetilde{D}^+_{G,2c^{-1}}(v)| \ge 3c^{-1}n$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $|Y_i| \le c^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$, as claimed.

Now we delete all bad vertices and denote the remaining set by $R'_1 \cup R'_2 \cup R'_3$ (and call their vertices good vertices). For $i \in [6]$, define

$$W_i^* = W_i \cup \left\{ v \in R_1' \cup R_2' \cup R_3' : \{W_{i-1}, W_{i+1}\} \subseteq I_v \right\}$$

and

$$X_i^* = \begin{cases} X_i \cup \{ v \in R_1' \cup R_2' \cup R_3' : \{W_{i+1}, W_{i-2}\} \subseteq I_v \}, & i \in \{1, 2, 3\}; \\ X_i, & i \in \{4, 5, 6\}. \end{cases}$$

As before, the subscripts of W_i^* and X_i^* in these definitions are modulo 6 with values $1, \ldots, 6$. Observe that for $i \in [6]$, W_i^* and X_i^* are disjoint because if $v \in W_i^* \cap X_i^*$, then $\{W_j, W_{j+1}\} \in I_v$ for some $j \in [6]$, contradicting $v \in R'_1 \cup R'_2 \cup R'_3$. Next we show that every vertex in $R'_1 \cup R'_2 \cup R'_3$ belongs to some W_i^* or X_i^* , which completes the proof.

Suppose to the contrary that there is a vertex $v \in R'_1 \cup R'_2 \cup R'_3$ which does not belong to any W_i^* and X_i^* for $i \in [6]$. We will show that $|I_v \cap \{W_i : i \in [6]\}| \leq 1$ and $|I_v \cap \{X_i : i \in [6]\}| \leq 2$. By (ii), it follows that

$$\delta(G) \le d(v) < \delta^+(G) + c^{-1}n + 2(d + c^{-1}n) + 5 \cdot 3c^{-1}n + 2(n - 2\delta^+(G) - 2d + 4c^{-1}n)$$

= 2n + 26c^{-1}n - (3\delta^+(G) + 2d) \le n,

contradicting our assumption.

/.../

Without loss of generality, assume that $v \in R'_2 \subseteq V_2$. Trivially $I_v \subseteq \{W_1, W_3, W_4, W_6, X_1, X_3, X_4, X_6\}$. Since v is a good vertex, if $|I_v \cap \{W_i : i \in [6]\}| \ge 2$, then I_v contains either $\{W_1, W_4\}$ or $\{W_3, W_6\}$ or $\{W_1, W_3\}$ or $\{W_4, W_6\}$. If $\{W_1, W_4\} \subseteq I_v$, then by the definition of good vertices, we have $\{W_3, W_6, X_3, X_6\} \cap I_v = \emptyset$, and thus

$$\delta^+(G) \le d^+(v) \le 4 \cdot 3c^{-1}n + |R_3| \le n - 2\delta^+(G) - 2d + 16c^{-1}n,$$

implying $3\delta^+(G) + 2d < n + 16c^{-1}n$, a contradiction. If $\{W_3, W_6\}$, $\{W_1, W_3\}$ or $\{W_4, W_6\} \subseteq I_v$, then v belongs to X_2^* , W_2^* or W_5^* respectively, contradicting our assumption on v. Thus $|I_v \cap \{W_i : i \in [6]\}| \leq 1$. Furthermore, since $\{X_3, X_4\} \not\subseteq I_v$ and $\{X_1, X_6\} \not\subseteq I_v$, we have $|I_v \cap \{X_i : i \in [6]\}| \leq 2$, as claimed.

Now we go back to the proof of the lemma. Firstly, we have

$$\sum_{i \in [6]} |W_{i+1}^* \cup W_{i-1}^* \cup X_{i+2}^* \cup X_{i-1}^*| = 2\left(\sum_{i \in [6]} \left(|W_i^*| + |X_i^*|\right)\right) \le 6n.$$

Hence there exists an $i \in [6]$ such that $|W_{i+1}^* \cup W_{i-1}^* \cup X_{i+2}^* \cup X_{i-1}^*| \leq n$. Without loss of generality we assume $|W_2^* \cup W_6^* \cup X_3^* \cup X_6^*| \leq n$, and thus we have

$$\delta(G)^{(\mathrm{III'})} | W_2^* \cup W_6^* \cup X_3^* \cup X_6^* | + |V(G) \setminus \bigcup_{i \in [6]} (W_i^* \cup X_i^*) | + 4c^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

So for all $v \in W_1 \subseteq V_1$, we have $|N_G(v) \cap (W_3^* \cup W_5^* \cup X_2^* \cup X_5^*)| \ge 4c^2n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore, there must exist a set $A \in \{W_3^*, W_5^*, X_2^*, X_5^*\}$ and a subset $\widetilde{W_1} \subseteq W_1$ with $|\widetilde{W_1}| \ge \frac{|W_1|}{4} \ge c^2n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ such

that for all $w \in \widetilde{W}_1$, $d(w, A) \ge c^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If A is one of W_5^* , X_2^* and X_5^* , then for all $w' \in N_G(w, A)$ we have $d(w', W_6) \ge 3c^{-1}n$ by (ii'), which implies that

$$T(ww') \ge |N_G(w', W_6) \cap N_G(w, W_6)| \stackrel{\text{(iii)}}{\ge} 3c^{-1}n - c^{-1}n = 2c^{-1}n.$$

Thus for all $w \in \widetilde{W}_1$, we have $|\widetilde{D}_{G,2c^{-1}}^+(w)| \ge |N_G(w,A)| \ge c^2 n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By Lemma 3.1, G contains a $K_3(2)$, a contradiction. If $A = W_3^*$, then for all $w' \in N_G(w,A)$ we have $d(w', W_2) \ge 3c^{-1}n$. A similar argument shows that G contains a $K_3(2)$, this contradicts our assumption and completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

4 New constructions of $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graphs

For $n = q^2 + q + 1$ where q is a prime power, it is well known (see [12]) that there is a $K_{2,2}$ -free (q+1)-regular bipartite graph $G_0 = G_2(n)$ (note that $q+1 > \sqrt{n}$). Using G_0 as a building block, Bhalkikar and Zhao [2] constructed many non-isomorphic $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graphs with minimum degree at least $n + n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. However, all their constructions have minimum partial degree about \sqrt{n} . In this section we construct $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graphs with minimum degree $n + cn^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and linear minimum partial degree.

Our first construction is based on two blow-ups of C_6 . It provides a $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graph $G = G_3(n)$ with $\delta(G) \ge n + \frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the minimum partial degree at least $\frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Construction 4.1. Let H be the edge-colored tripartite graph such that

- $V(H) = \{a_j, b_j : j \in [6]\};$
- $a_1a_2\ldots a_6$ and $b_1b_2\ldots b_6$ forms two blue C_6 's;
- for $i \in [3]$, all four pairs between $\{a_i, a_{i+3}\}$ and $\{b_{i+1}, b_{i+4}\}$ are blue edges;
- for $j \in [6]$, $a_j b_{j-1}$ are red edges.



Figure 2: Graph in Construction 4.1.

Note that H is tripartite vertices classes $\{a_i, a_{i+3}, b_i, b_{i+3}\}$.

Let G be the graph with vertex subsets W_v (for $v \in V(H)$) such that $|W_v| = m$ such that the following holds. If uv is a blue edge in H, then $G[W_u, W_v]$ is a complete bipartite graph. If uv is a red edge in H, then $G[W_u, W_v]$ is isomorphic to G_0 . If uv is not an edge in H, then $G[W_u, W_v]$ is empty. Let n = 4m. It is easy to see that $G = G_3(n)$, $\delta(G) = 4m + m^{\frac{1}{2}} = n + \frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the minimum partial degree at least $m + m^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Note that H is $K_{2,2,1}$ -free, each pair of triangles do not share a blue edge and every triangle in H contains at least one red edge. Hence G is $K_3(2)$ -free.

Note that in Construction 4.1, if we let $|W_{a_i}| = \alpha n$ and $|W_{b_i}| = \beta n$ for all *i*, where $\alpha + \beta = 1/2$, then $\delta^+(G) = \beta n + (\alpha n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\delta^-(G) = \alpha n + (\beta n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

One may wonder whether a linear minimum partial degree guarantees a unique extremal structure. Using the following gluing operation, we show that the answer is negative.

Construction 4.2. Let G and G' be two $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graphs on disjoint vertex classes V_1, V_2, V_3 and V'_1, V'_2, V'_3 of size n. Define $G \odot G'$ to be tripartite graph obtained from $G \cup G'$ by adding all edges between V_i and V'_{i+1} for all $i \in [3]$. Then $\delta(G \odot G') = n + \min\{\delta(G), \delta(G')\}$ and the minimum partial degree of $G \odot G'$ is $\min\{\delta^+(G'), \delta^-(G)\}$. Since all new edges in $G \odot G'$ does not lie in a triangle, $G \odot G'$ is $K_3(2)$ -free.

This construction allows us to create many new $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graphs. For example, let G_1 be the graph of Construction 4.1. Then $G = G_1 \odot G_1$ is a $K_3(2)$ -free tripartite graph with 2n vertices in each part, $\delta(G) \ge 2n + \frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the minimum partial degree at least $\frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Recall that Bollobás, Erdős, and Szemerédi [4] conjectued there exists c such that every $G = G_3(n)$ with $\delta(G) \ge n + cn^{1/2}$ contains $K_3(2)$. If $\delta^+(G) = \beta n$, then Lemma 3.2 implies that G essentially contains a blow-up of C_6 with vertex classes of size about βn . After removing this blow-up of C_6 , we believe that one should be able to reduce to the case when both $\delta^+(G)$ and $\delta^-(G)$ are sublinear in n. However, we do not know how to handle this case.

Acknowledgement

Jialin He was partially supported by Hong Kong RGC grant GRF 16308219 and Hong Kong RGC grant ECS 26304920. Allan Lo was partially supported by EPSRC, grant no. EP/V002279/1 and EP/V048287/1. Jie Ma was supported by National Key R&D Program of China 2023YFA1010201 and National Natural Science Foundation of China grant 12125106. Yi Zhao was partially supported by NSF grant DMS 2300346 and Simons Collaboration Grant 710094. There are no additional data beyond that contained within the main manuscript. For the purpose of open access, a CC BY public copyright license is applied to any AAM arising from this submission.

References

- [1] N. Alon, The linear arboricity of graphs, Isr. J. Math. **62(3)** (1988), 311–325.
- [2] A. Bhalkikar and Y. Zhao, On subgraphs of tripartite graphs, *Discrete Math.* 346(1) (2023), 113152.
- [3] B. Bollobás, P. Erdős and E. G. Straus, Complete subgraphs of chromatic graphs and hypergraphs, Utilitas Math. 6 (1974), 343–347.
- [4] B. Bollobás, P. Erdős and E. Szemerédi, On complete subgraphs of r-chromatic graphs, Discrete Math. 13(2) (1975), 97–107.

- [5] P. Erdős, Unsolved problems, In Proceedings of the Conference on Combinatorial Mathematics held at the Mathematical Institute, 3-7 July 1972 (D. J. A. Welsh and D. R. Woodall, eds) The Institute of Mathematics and its Applications (1972), pp. 351–363.
- [6] P. Haxell, A note on vertex list colouring, Combin. Probab. Comput. 10(4) (2001), 345–347.
- [7] P. Haxell and T. Szabó, Odd independent transversals are odd, Combin. Probab. Comput. 15(1-2) (2006), 193–211.
- [8] G. Jin, Complete subgraphs of r-partite graphs, Combin. Probab. Comput. 1(3) (1992), 241-250.
- [9] T. Kővári, V. T. Sós and P. Turán, On a problem of Zarankiewicz, Colloq. Math. 3 (1954), 50–57.
- [10] A. Lo, A. Treglown and Y. Zhao, Complete subgraphs in a multipartite graph, Combin. Probab. Comput. 31(6) (2022), 1092–1101.
- [11] W. Mantel, Opgaven28, Wiskd. Opgaven Met Oplossingen 10 (1907), 60-61.
- [12] I. Reiman, Über ein Problem von K. Zarankiewicz, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 9 (1958), 269–273.
- [13] T. Szabó and G. Tardos, Extremal problems for transversals in graphs with bounded degree, *Combinatorica* 26(3) (2006), 333–351.
- [14] P. Turán, On an extremal problem in graph theory (in Hungarian), Mat. Fiz. Lapok 48 (1941), 436–452.

E-mail address: cyh2020@mail.ustc.edu.cn

E-mail address: majlhe@ust.hk

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:$ s.a.lo@bham.ac.uk

E-mail address: luoc@mail.ustc.edu.cn

E-mail address: jiema@ustc.edu.cn

E-mail address: yzhao6@gsu.edu