# SHADOWS OF 3-UNIFORM HYPERGRAPHS UNDER A MINIMUM DEGREE CONDITION

## ZOLTÁN FÜREDI AND YI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We prove a minimum degree version of the Kruskal–Katona theorem for triple systems: given  $d \geq 1/4$  and a triple system  $\mathcal{F}$  on n vertices with minimum degree  $\delta(\mathcal{F}) \geq d\binom{n}{2}$ , we obtain asymptotically tight lower bounds for the size of its shadow. Equivalently, for  $t \geq n/2-1$ , we asymptotically determine the minimum size of a graph on n vertices, in which every vertex is contained in at least  $\binom{t}{2}$  triangles. This can be viewed as a variant of the Rademacher–Turán problem.

# 1. Introduction

Given a set X and a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of k-subsets of X, the shadow  $\partial \mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of all (k-1)-subsets of X contained in some member of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The Kruskal–Katona theorem [12, 13] is one of the most important results in extremal set theory – it gives a tight lower bound for the size of shadows of all k-uniform families of a given size. The following is a version due to Lovász [17], where  $\binom{t}{k} = \frac{t(t-1)\cdots(t-k+1)}{k!}$  for a real number t. Note that it is tight when t is an integer by considering the family of all k-subsets of a set of t vertices.

**Theorem 1** (Kruskal–Katona theorem). If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of k-sets with  $|\mathcal{F}| \geq {t \choose k}$  for some real number t, then  $|\partial \mathcal{F}| \geq {t \choose k-1}$ .

A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of k-subsets of X is often regarded as a k-uniform hypergraph, or k-graph  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  with X as the vertex set and  $\mathcal{F}$  as the edge set. For every  $x \in X$ , define  $\mathcal{F}_x = \{F \setminus x : x \in F \text{ and } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . The minimum (vertex) degree of  $\mathcal{F}$  is denoted by  $\delta(\mathcal{F}) := \min_x |\mathcal{F}_x|$ . The following minimum degree version of the Kruskal–Katona theorem has not been studied before but emerged naturally when Han, Zang, and Zhao [9] investigated a packing problem for hypergraphs.

**Problem 2.** Given  $k \geq 3$  and 0 < d < 1, let X be a set of n vertices and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of k-subsets of X with  $\delta(\mathcal{F}) \geq d\binom{n}{k-1}$ . How small can  $|\partial \mathcal{F}|$  be?

Problem 2 belongs to an area of active research on extremal problems under maximum or minimum degree conditions. Two early examples are the work of Bollobás, Daykin, and Erdős [1], who studied the minimum degree version of the Erdős matching conjecture, and of Frankl [6], who studied the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem under maximum degree conditions. More recent examples include the minimum (co)degree Turán's problems [15, 18], the minimum degree version of the Erdős–Ko–Rado theorem [8, 10, 14], and the minimum degree version of the Hilton–Milner

Date: October 22, 2022.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 05D05, 05C65, 05C35.

Key words and phrases. shadow, hypergraph, Kruskal-Katona theorem, Rademacher-Turán.

Zoltán Füredi is partially supported by NKFIH grant KH130371 and NKFI-133819. Yi Zhao is partially supported by NSF grants DMS 1400073 and 1700622 and Simons Collaboration Grant 710094.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>It is more natural to assume  $\delta(\mathcal{F}) \geq d\binom{n-1}{k-1}$  as  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$  is the largest possible degree. However, since we are mainly interested in the asymptotics of  $|\partial \mathcal{F}|$ , we choose the simpler looking condition  $\delta(\mathcal{F}) \geq d\binom{n}{k-1}$ .

theorem [7, 14]. Recently Jung [11] studied minimum  $|\partial \mathcal{F}|/|\mathcal{F}|$  among all k-graphs  $\mathcal{F}$  with maximum degree  $\Delta(\mathcal{F}) \leq d$ .

Since  $\delta(\mathcal{F}) \geq d\binom{n}{k-1}$  implies that  $|\mathcal{F}| \geq d\binom{n}{k}$ , we could apply Theorem 1 to  $\mathcal{F}$  but will not obtain a tight bound for  $|\partial \mathcal{F}|$ . A better approach is applying Theorem 1 to  $\mathcal{F}_x$  for each vertex x. Since  $|\mathcal{F}_x| \geq d\binom{n}{k-1} \geq \binom{d^{\frac{1}{k-1}}n}{k-1}$ , by Theorem 1, we have  $|\partial \mathcal{F}_x| \geq \binom{d^{\frac{1}{k-1}}n}{k-2} \geq d^{\frac{k-2}{k-1}}\binom{n}{k-2} + O(n^{k-3})$ . Consequently,

$$(1) |\partial \mathcal{F}| = \sum_{r} \frac{|\partial \mathcal{F}_x|}{k-1} \ge \frac{n}{k-1} d^{\frac{k-2}{k-1}} \binom{n}{k-2} + O(n^{k-2}) \ge d^{\frac{k-2}{k-1}} \binom{n}{k-1} + O(n^{k-2}).$$

This bound is tight (up to the error term) when the first inequality in (1) is asymptotically an equality, which occurs when  $\mathcal{F}_x$  is a clique of order  $d^{\frac{1}{k-1}}n$  for every x. Thus, the bound in (1) is asymptotically tight when  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of  $d^{\frac{1}{1-k}}$  vertex-disjoint cliques of order  $d^{\frac{1}{k-1}}n$ , in particular, when  $d = \ell^{1-k}$  for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In this paper we improve (1) and answer Problem 2 asymptotically for k=3 and  $d\geq 1/4$ . Having two overlapping cliques of order about  $\sqrt{d}n+1$  is a natural candidate for extremal hypergraphs – the following theorem confirms this for  $\frac{1}{4}\leq d<\frac{47-5\sqrt{57}}{24}\approx 0.385$ . However, there is a different extremal hypergraph for larger values of d.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $1/4 \le d < 1$ , and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be sufficiently large. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a triple system on n vertices with  $\delta(\mathcal{F}) \ge d\binom{n}{2}$ , then

$$|\partial \mathcal{F}| \ge \begin{cases} \left(4\sqrt{d} - 2d - 1\right) \binom{n}{2} & \text{if } \frac{1}{4} \le d < \frac{47 - 5\sqrt{57}}{24} \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{4d - 1}{12}}\right) \binom{n}{2} & \text{if } d \ge \frac{47 - 5\sqrt{57}}{24}. \end{cases}$$

These bounds are best possible up to an additive term of O(n).

Although seemingly technical, Theorem 3 has an interesting application on hypergraph packing and covering. Given positive integers a, b, c, let  $K^3_{a,b,c}$  denote the complete 3-partite 3-uniform hypergraph with parts of sizes a, b, and c. Answering a question of Mycroft [19], Han, Zang, and Zhao [9] determined the minimum  $\delta(H)$  of a 3-graph H that forces a perfect  $K^3_{a,b,c}$ -packing in H for any given a, b, c. One of the main steps in their proof is determining the smallest  $\delta(H)$  of a 3-graph H that guarantees that every vertex of H is contained in a copy of  $K^3_{a,b,c}$  (this is necessary for H containing a perfect  $K^3_{a,b,c}$ -packing).

**Corollary 4.** [9, Lemma 3.7] Let  $d_0 = 6 - 4\sqrt{2} \approx 0.343$ . For any  $\gamma > 0$ , there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that the following holds for sufficiently large n. If H is an n-vertex 3-graph with  $\delta(H) \geq (d_0 + \gamma)\binom{n}{2}$ , then each vertex of H is contained in at least  $\eta n^{a+b+c-1}$  copies of  $K_{a,b,c}^3$ .

It was shown [9, Construction 2.6] that  $d_0$  in Corollary 4 is best possible. We give a proof outline of Corollary 4 at the end of Section 2 – a complete proof can be found in [9].

Our approach towards Theorem 3 is viewing it as an extremal problem on graphs. The following is an equivalent form of Problem 2, in which  $K_t^k$  denotes the complete k-graph on t vertices (and we omit the superscript when k = 2).

**Problem 5.** Given a (k-1)-graph G on n vertices such that every vertex is contained in at least  $d\binom{n}{k-1}$  copies of  $K_k^{k-1}$ , how many edges must G have?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Given hypergraphs H and F, a perfect F-packing in H is a spanning subgraph of H that consists of vertex-disjoint copies of F.

To see why Problems 2 and 5 are equivalent, let  $m_1$  be the minimum  $|\partial \mathcal{F}|$  for Problem 2 and  $m_2$  be the minimum e(G) for Problem 5. To see why  $m_1 \geq m_2$ , consider a k-uniform family  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\delta(\mathcal{F}) \geq d\binom{n}{k-1}$ . Let  $G = (V(\mathcal{F}), \partial \mathcal{F})$  be the (k-1)-graph of its shadow. Since every member of  $\mathcal{F}$  gives rise to a copy of  $K_k^{k-1}$  in G,  $\delta(\mathcal{F}) \geq d\binom{n}{k-1}$  implies that every vertex is contained in at least  $d\binom{n}{k-1}$  copies of  $K_k^{k-1}$ . Thus  $|\partial \mathcal{F}| = e(G) \geq m_2$ . To see why  $m_2 \geq m_1$ , consider a (k-1)-graph G such that every vertex is contained in at least  $d\binom{n}{k-1}$  copies of  $K_k^{k-1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of k-subsets of V(G) that span copies of  $K_k^{k-1}$  in G. Then  $\partial \mathcal{F} \subseteq G$  and for every  $v \in V(G)$ , we have  $|\mathcal{F}_v| \geq d\binom{n}{k-1}$ . Thus  $e(G) \geq |\partial \mathcal{F}| \geq m_1$  as desired.

In order to prove Theorem 3, we solve the k=3 case of Problem 5 with  $d \geq 1/4$ . For convenience, we assume that every vertex of G is contained in at least  $\binom{t}{2}$  triangles. There are essentially two extremal graphs: the first one consists of two copies of  $K_{t+1}$  that share 2t+2-n vertices; the second one is obtained from two disjoint copies of  $K_{n/2}$  by adding a regular bipartite graph between them. The size of these two extremal graphs can be conveniently represented by a quadratic function f(x), which arises naturally from a lower bound for e(G) in Proposition 7.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t, r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $n/2 \le t+1 \le n$ ,  $r \ge 0$ , and let

$$\binom{\frac{n}{2}-1}{2} + 3\binom{r}{2} = \binom{t}{2}.$$

Define a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  as

(3) 
$$f(x) = {t \choose 2} + x(n-x) - {n-x-1 \choose 2}.$$

If G is an n-vertex graph such that each vertex is contained in at least  $\binom{t}{2}$  triangles, then

(4) 
$$e(G) \ge \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{if } r + t \le \frac{5n}{6} \text{ or approximately } t \le 0.6208n, \\ f(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, these bounds are tight when n/2, t, r are integers, and tight up to an additive O(n) in general.

Theorem 6 can be viewed as a variant of the well-studied Rademacher–Turán problem. Starting with the work of Rademacher (unpublished) and of Erdős [4], the Rademacher–Turán problem studies the minimum number of triangles in a graph with given order and size. Instead of the total number of triangles in a graph, one may ask for the maximum or minimum number of triangles containing a fixed vertex. Given a graph G, we define the triangle-degree of a vertex as the number of triangles that contain this vertex. Let  $\Delta_{K_3}(G)$  and  $\delta_{K_3}(G)$  denote the maximum and minimum triangle-degree in G, respectively. The contrapositive of Theorem 6 states that if G is a graph on n vertices that fails (4), then  $\delta_{K_3}(G) < \binom{t}{2}$ . Correspondingly, the maximum triangle-degree version of the Rademacher–Turán problem was recently studied by Falgas-Ravry, Markström, and Zhao [5]. In addition, Theorem 6 looks similar to the question of Erdős and Rothschild [3] on the book size of graphs: in the complementary form, it asks for the maximum size of a graph on n vertices, in which every edge is contained in at most d triangles.

We prove Theorems 6 and 3 in the next section. When t < n/2 - 1, it is reasonable to speculate that an extremal graph is a disjoint union of copies of  $K_{t+1}$  and an extremal graph for Theorem 6. Unfortunately we cannot verify this. We provide some evidence for this speculation in the last section.

**Notation.** Given a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of sets,  $|\mathcal{F}|$  is the size of  $\mathcal{F}$ , namely, the number of sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ . A k-uniform hypergraph H, or k-graph, consists of a vertex set V(H) and an edge set E(H),

which is a family of k-subsets of V(H). Given a vertex set S, denote by  $e_H(S)$  the number of edges of H induced on S. Suppose G is a graph. For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $N_G(v)$  denote the neighborhood of v, the set of vertices adjacent to v, and let  $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$  be the degree of v. Let  $N_G[v] := N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$  denote the closed neighborhood of v. When the underlying (hyper)graph is clear from the context, we omit the subscript in these notations.

# 2. Proofs of Theorem 6 and Thereom 3

Suppose that G = (V, E) is a graph on n vertices such that each vertex is contained in at least  $\binom{t}{2}$  triangles, in other words,

(5) 
$$\forall v \in V, \quad e(N(v)) \ge {t \choose 2},$$

where t is a positive real number. Trivially  $t \leq \delta(G) \leq n-1$  because  $e(N(v)) \leq {d(v) \choose 2}$  for every vertex  $v \in V$ . Therefore

$$e(G) \ge \frac{\delta(G)n}{2} \ge \frac{tn}{2}.$$

When t+1 divides n, this bound is tight because G can be a disjoint union of  $\frac{n}{t+1}$  copies of  $K_{t+1}$ . Below we often assume that  $t \leq n-2$  because when t=n-1, we must have  $G=K_n$ . Let us derive another lower bound for e(G) by using the function f defined in (3).

**Proposition 7.** If G = (V, E) is a graph on n vertices satisfying (5), then  $e(G) \ge f(\delta(G))$ , and the equality holds if and only if there exists  $v_0 \in V$  such that  $e(N(v_0)) = {t \choose 2}$ ,  $d(v) = \delta(G)$  for all  $v \notin N(v_0)$ , and  $V \setminus N[v_0]$  induces a clique.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\delta(G) = \delta$  and  $v_0 \in V$  satisfies  $d(v_0) = \delta$ . Since we may partition E(G) into the edges induced on  $N(v_0)$  and the edges incident to some vertex  $v \notin N(v_0)$ , we have

$$e(G) = e(N(v_0)) + \left(\sum_{v \notin N(v_0)} d(v)\right) - e(V \setminus N(v_0)).$$

Because of (5),  $d(v) \geq \delta$  for all  $v \notin N(v_0)$ , and  $e(V \setminus N(v_0)) \leq \binom{n-\delta-1}{2}$  (note that  $v_0$  has no neighbor outside  $N(v_0)$ ), we derive that  $e(G) \geq \binom{t}{2} + \delta(n-\delta) - \binom{n-\delta-1}{2}$ . Furthermore, the equality holds exactly when  $e(N(v_0)) = \binom{t}{2}$ ,  $d(v) = \delta(G)$  for all  $v \notin N(v_0)$ , and  $V \setminus N[v_0]$  induces a clique.

Let us construct three graphs satisfying (5). Note that, if r satisfies (2), then  $r \leq n/2$  because  $\binom{n/2-1}{2} + 3\binom{n/2}{2} = \binom{n-1}{2} \geq \binom{t}{2}$ .

Construction 8. Suppose  $t, r \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $\frac{n}{2} - 1 \le t \le n - 2$ ,  $r \ge 0$ , and (2).

- (1) Let  $G_1$  be the union of two copies of  $K_{\lceil t \rceil + 1}$  sharing  $2\lceil t \rceil + 2 n$  vertices.
- (2) When n is even, let  $G_2$  be the n-vertex graph obtained from two disjoint copies of  $K_{n/2}$  by adding an  $\lceil r \rceil$ -regular bipartite graph between two cliques.
- (3) When n is odd, let  $r' \in \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfy  $\binom{n-3}{2} + 3\binom{r'}{2} = \binom{t}{2}$ . Let  $G'_2$  be the n-vertex graph obtained from two disjoint copies of  $K_{(n-1)/2}$  by adding an  $\lceil r' \rceil$ -regular bipartite graph between them, and a new vertex whose adjacency is the exactly the same as one of the existing vertices.

It is easy to see that  $G_1, G_2, G_2$  all satisfy (5). For example, consider a vertex  $x \in V(G_2)$ . Let A and B denote the vertex sets of the two copies of  $K_{n/2}$  of  $G_2$  and assume  $x \in A$ . Then N(x) contains  $\binom{n/2-1}{2}$  edges from A,  $\binom{\lceil r \rceil}{2}$  edges from B, and  $\lceil r \rceil (\lceil r \rceil - 1)$  edges between A and B. Hence  $e(N(x)) = \binom{\frac{n}{2}-1}{2} + 3\binom{\lceil r \rceil}{2} \ge \binom{t}{2}$ .

The following proposition gives the sizes of  $G_1, G_2$ , and  $G'_2$ .

**Proposition 9.** Suppose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t, r \geq 0$  satisfy  $\frac{n}{2} - 1 \leq t \leq n - 1$  and (2). If all n/2, t, r are integers, then  $e(G_1) = f(t)$  and  $e(G_2) = f(n/2 + r - 1)$ ; otherwise,  $e(G_1) \leq f(t) + n$  and  $e(G_2) \leq f(n/2 + r - 1) + n/2$ . Furthermore,  $e(G'_2) = f(n/2 + r - 1) + O(n)$  when  $r', r = \Omega(n)$ .

*Proof.* First, by the definition of f(x), it is easy to see that

(6) 
$$f(t) = \binom{n}{2} - (n-1-t)^2$$

(alternatively when  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can apply Proposition 7 by letting  $v_0$  be any vertex not in the intersection of the two cliques). We know that

$$e(G_1) = \binom{n}{2} - (n-1-\lceil t \rceil)^2 \ge \binom{n}{2} - (n-1-t)^2 = f(t)$$

and equality holds when  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . In addition, we have  $e(G_1) \leq f(t) + n$  because

$$(n-1-\lceil t \rceil)^2 - (n-1-t)^2 = (2(n-1)-(\lceil t \rceil+t))(\lceil t \rceil-t) \le n$$

by using  $t + 1 \ge \lceil t \rceil \ge t \ge n/2 - 1$ .

Second, using the definitions of f(x) and r, it is not hard to see that

(7) 
$$f\left(\frac{n}{2}+r-1\right) = \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}+r-1\right).$$

It follows that

$$e(G_2) = \frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{n}{2} + \lceil r \rceil - 1 \right) \le f\left( \frac{n}{2} + r - 1 \right) + \frac{n}{2}$$

and equality holds when  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Third, it is easy to see that

$$e(G_2') = \frac{n+1}{2} \left( \frac{n-1}{2} + \lceil r' \rceil - 1 \right).$$

By the definitions of r and r', we have  $\binom{r'}{2} - \binom{r}{2} = \frac{2n-7}{24}$ . When  $r, r' = \Omega(n)$ , we have r' - r = O(1) and consequently,

$$e(G_2') - f\left(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1\right) \le \frac{n+1}{2} \left(\frac{n-1}{2} + r' - 1\right) - \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1\right)$$
$$= \frac{n}{2} (r' - r) + \frac{r'}{2} - \frac{3}{4} = O(n).$$

We compare f(t), the approximate size of  $G_1$ , with  $f(\frac{n}{2}+r-1)$ , the approximate sizes of  $G_2$  and  $G'_2$ , in the next proposition.

**Proposition 10.** Suppose  $\frac{n}{2} - 1 \le t \le n - 1$ , f(x) and r are defined as in (3) and (2), respectively. We have  $f(t) \le f(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1)$  if and only if  $r + t \le \frac{5n}{6}$ , equivalently,

(8) 
$$t \le \frac{5}{4}n - \frac{\sqrt{57n^2 - 72n}}{12} - 1 \approx 0.6208n.$$

To prove Proposition 10, we need a simple fact on quadratic functions.

**Fact 11.** Suppose g(x) is a quadratic function with a maximum at x = a and assume  $x_1 \le x_2$ . Then  $g(x_1) \le g(x_2)$  if and only if  $x_1 + x_2 \le 2a$ .

Proof of Proposition 10. First note that

$$f(x) = -\frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{4n-3}{2}x - \frac{n^2}{2} + \binom{t}{2} + \frac{3}{2}n - 1$$

is a quadratic function with a maximum at  $x = \frac{2n}{3} - \frac{1}{2}$ . Second, since  $r \leq \frac{n}{2}$ , it follows that

$$\binom{\frac{n}{2}+r-1}{2}=\binom{\frac{n}{2}-1}{2}+\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)r+\binom{r}{2}\geq\binom{\frac{n}{2}-1}{2}+3\binom{r}{2}=\binom{t}{2}.$$

Consequently  $\frac{n}{2}+r-1 \ge t$ . By Fact 11,  $f(t) \le f(\frac{n}{2}+r-1)$  if and only if  $t+\frac{n}{2}+r-1 \le \frac{4n}{3}-1$ or  $r+t \leq \frac{5n}{6}$ . By (2), this is equivalent to

$$\binom{\frac{n}{2}-1}{2} + 3\binom{\frac{5n}{6}-t}{2} \ge \binom{t}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad (t+1)^2 - \frac{5}{2}(t+1)n + \frac{7}{6}n^2 + \frac{n}{2} \ge 0,$$

which holds exactly when  $t+1 \le \frac{5}{4}n - \frac{\sqrt{57n^2 - 72n}}{12}$  (because t < n).

We are ready to prove Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 6. Assume that  $\delta = \delta(G)$ . We separate two cases.

Case 1:  $r + t \leq \frac{5n}{6}$ , equivalently, (8).

First assume that  $\delta \geq \frac{4}{3}n - t - 1$ . Since  $t \leq \frac{5n}{6} - r$ , we have  $\delta \geq \frac{n}{2} + r - 1$  and consequently,

$$e(G) \ge \frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{n}{2} + r - 1 \right) = f\left( \frac{n}{2} + r - 1 \right) \ge f(t)$$

by (7) and Proposition 10.

Second assume that  $\delta < \frac{4}{3}n - t - 1$ . By Proposition 7, we have  $e(G) \geq f(\delta)$ . Recall that (5) forces  $t \leq \delta$ . Since  $t \leq \delta < \frac{4}{3}n - t - 1$  and f(x) is a quadratic function maximized at  $\frac{2n}{3} - \frac{1}{2}$ , we derive from Fact 11 that  $f(\delta) \geq f(t)$ . Hence  $e(G) \geq f(\delta) \geq f(t)$ .

Case 2:  $r + t > \frac{5n}{6}$ . If  $\delta \ge \frac{n}{2} + r - 1$ , then  $e(G) \ge \frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1) = f(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1)$  by (7). Otherwise  $\delta < \frac{n}{2} + r - 1$ . Note that

$$\delta + \frac{n}{2} + r - 1 \ge t + \frac{n}{2} + r - 1 > \frac{5n}{6} + \frac{n}{2} - 1 = \frac{4n}{3} - 1.$$

Since the quadratic function f(x) is maximized at  $\frac{2n}{3} - \frac{1}{2}$ , we derive from Fact 11 that  $f(\delta) \ge f(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1)$ . By Proposition 7, we have  $e(G) \ge f(\delta) \ge f(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1)$ .

By Proposition 9, when n/2, t, r are all integers, we have  $e(G_1) = f(t)$  and  $e(G_2) = f(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1)$ . In other cases, we have  $e(G_1) \leq f(t) + n$  and  $e(G_2) \leq f(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1) + n/2$ . When n is odd and r + t > 5n/6, we have  $r, r' = \Omega(n)$  and thus  $e(G'_2) = f(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1) + O(n)$ .

**Remark 12.** When n/2, t, r are all integers, we actually learn the following about extremal graphs from the proof of Theorem 6. Suppose that G is an extremal graph. We claim that  $G = G_1$  when r + t < 5n/6, and G is (n/2 + r - 1)-regular when r + t > 5n/6,.

Indeed, first assume r+t < 5n/6. If  $\delta \ge \frac{4}{3}n-t-1$ , then  $\delta > \frac{n}{2}+r-1$  and consequently,  $e(G) > \frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2}+r-1) = f(t)$ , a contradiction. Following the second case of Case 1, we obtain that  $e(G) = f(\delta) = f(t)$  and consequently,  $\delta = t$ . Using Proposition 7, we can derive that  $G = G_1$ . When r + t > 5n/6, the second case of Case 2 shows that  $e(G) \ge f(\delta) > f(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\delta \geq \frac{n}{2} + r - 1$  and  $e(G) = \frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1)$ , which forces G to be (n/2 + r - 1)regular.

We now prove Theorem 3 by applying Theorem 6 and the arguments that show the equivalence of Problems 2 and 5 in Section 1.

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose  $1/4 \leq d < 1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is sufficiently large. Choose  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\binom{t}{2} = d\binom{n}{2}$ . Since  $\binom{\sqrt{d}n}{2} < d\binom{n}{2} < \binom{\sqrt{d}n+1}{2}$ , we have  $\sqrt{d}n < t < \sqrt{d}n + 1$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a triple system on n vertices with  $\delta(\mathcal{F}) \geq d\binom{n}{2}$ . Let  $G = (V(\mathcal{F}), \partial \mathcal{F})$  be the graph whose edge set is the shadow  $\partial \mathcal{F}$ . For every  $x \in V(G)$ , we have  $e_G(N(x)) \geq d\binom{n}{2}$ .

Case 1:  $\frac{1}{4} \leq d < \frac{47-5\sqrt{57}}{24}$ . Thus  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sqrt{d} < \frac{15-\sqrt{57}}{12}$ . Since n is sufficiently large, we have  $\sqrt{d}n \leq \frac{15-\sqrt{57}}{12}n-2$ . Since  $\sqrt{d}n < t < \sqrt{d}n + 1$ , it follows that

$$\frac{n}{2} < t < \frac{15 - \sqrt{57}}{12}n - 1 < \frac{5}{4}n - \frac{\sqrt{57n^2 - 72n}}{12} - 1.$$

This allows us to apply the first case of Theorem 6 and (6) to derive that

$$e(G) \ge f(t) = \binom{n}{2} - (n-1-t)^2 \ge \binom{n}{2} - (n-1-\sqrt{d}n)^2$$
$$= (4\sqrt{d} - 2d - 1)\binom{n}{2} + n - dn - 1$$
$$\ge (4\sqrt{d} - 2d - 1)\binom{n}{2} \quad \text{as } d < 1 \text{ and } n \text{ is sufficiently large.}$$

Case 2:  $d \ge \frac{47 - 5\sqrt{57}}{24}$ .

Thus  $\sqrt{d} \geq \frac{15-\sqrt{57}}{12}$ . Since  $t > \sqrt{dn}$ , it follows that

$$t+1 > \frac{15 - \sqrt{57}}{12}n + 1 > \frac{5}{4}n - \frac{\sqrt{57n^2 - 72}}{12}$$

because  $\sqrt{57n^2-72} > \sqrt{57n^2}-6$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Since (8) fails, we will apply the second case of Theorem 6. Since  $\binom{t}{2} = d\binom{n}{2}$  and  $r \ge 0$ , we can obtain from (2) that

$$r = \frac{1}{6} \left( 3 + \sqrt{3(n-1)\big((4d-1)n+5\big)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{\frac{4d-1}{3}} + h(n),$$

where

$$h(n) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \left( \sqrt{(4d-1)n^2 + (6-4d)n - 5} - \sqrt{4d-1}n \right).$$

It is easy to see that  $0 \le h(n) = O(1)$ . Theorem 6 thus gives that

$$e(G) \ge f\left(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1\right) = \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1\right)$$

$$= \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2}\sqrt{\frac{4d - 1}{3}} + h(n)\right)$$

$$= \binom{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{4d - 1}{12}}\right) + \frac{n}{4}\sqrt{\frac{4d - 1}{3}} + \frac{n}{2}h(n)$$

$$\ge \binom{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{4d - 1}{12}}\right).$$

To see why these bounds are asymptotically tight, for every graph  $G \in \{G_1, G_2, G_2'\}$ , we construct a triple system  $\mathcal{F}_G$  whose members are all triangles of G. Then  $\partial \mathcal{F}_G \subseteq E(G)$  and  $\delta(\mathcal{F}_G) \ge {t \choose 2} = d{n \choose 2}.$ 

Proposition 9 gives that  $|\partial \mathcal{F}_{G_1}| \leq e(G_1) \leq f(t) + n$ . By (6) and the assumption  $t \leq \sqrt{dn} + 1$ ,

$$|\partial \mathcal{F}_{G_1}| \le f(t) + n \le \binom{n}{2} - (n - 2 - \sqrt{d}n)^2 + n$$

$$= \left(4\sqrt{d} - 2d - 1\right) \binom{n}{2} + \left(3 - 2\sqrt{d} - d\right)n - 4 + n$$

$$= \left(4\sqrt{d} - 2d - 1\right) \binom{n}{2} + O(n).$$

When n is even, we apply Proposition 9 and (9) obtaining that

$$|\partial \mathcal{F}_{G_2}| \le e(G_2) \le f\left(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1\right) + \frac{n}{2} = \binom{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{4d - 1}{12}}\right) + O(n).$$

When n is odd, we assume r + t > 5n/6 and thus  $r, r' = \Omega(n)$ . By Proposition 9 and (9), we conclude that

$$|\partial \mathcal{F}_{G_2'}| \le e(G_2') = f\left(\frac{n}{2} + r - 1\right) + O(n) = \binom{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{4d - 1}{12}}\right) + O(n).$$

We outline the proof of Corollary 4 emphasizing how Theorem 3 is applied. In a 3-graph, the degree of a pair p of vertices is the number of the edges that contains p.

Proof Outline of Corollary 4. Assume  $\eta \ll \gamma$  and  $\varepsilon = \gamma/12$ . Let H be an n-vertex 3-graph and x be a vertex of H. In order to find  $\eta n^{a+b+c-1}$  copies of  $K_{a,b,c}^3$ , it suffices to find  $\frac{\gamma}{2}\binom{n}{2}$  pairs of vertices of  $H_x$  with degree at least  $\varepsilon^2 n$  – this follows from standard counting arguments in extremal (hyper)graph theory, or conveniently [16, Lemma 4.2] of Lo and Markström.

Suppose  $\delta_1(H) \geq (d_0 + \gamma)\binom{n}{2}$  with  $d_0 = 6 - 4\sqrt{2} \approx 0.343$ . As shown in [9, Lemma 3.3], it is easy to find a set  $V_0$  of at most  $3\varepsilon n$  vertices and a subgraph H' of H on  $V \setminus V_0$  such that  $\delta(H') \geq d_0\binom{n'}{2}$ , where  $n' = |V \setminus V_0|$ , and every pair in  $\partial H'$  has degree at least  $\varepsilon^2 n$  in H. Since  $\frac{1}{4} < d_0 < \frac{47 - 5\sqrt{57}}{24} \approx 0.385$ , by the first case of Theorem 3, we have

$$|\partial H'| \ge (4\sqrt{d_0} - 2d_0 - 1)\binom{n'}{2} \ge \left(4\sqrt{d_0} - 2d_0 - 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)\binom{n}{2}.$$

For every vertex  $x \in V(H)$ , since  $d(x) \ge (d_0 + \gamma)\binom{n}{2}$  and crucially  $4\sqrt{d_0} - 2d_0 - 1 = 1 - d_0$ , at least  $\frac{\gamma}{2}\binom{n}{2}$  pairs in  $H_x$  are also in  $\partial H'$  thus having degree at least  $\varepsilon^2 n$ , as desired.

### 3. Concluding remarks

Let us restate the k = 3 case of Problem 5.

**Problem 13.** Let G be a graph on n vertices such that each vertex is contained in at least  $\binom{t}{2}$  triangles, where t is a positive real number. How many edges must G have?

Our Theorem 6 (asymptotically) answers Problem 13 for  $n/2 \le t+1 \le n$ . The following proposition shows that for larger n, all but  $O(t^3)$  vertices of an extremal graph are contained in isolated copies of  $K_{t+1}$ .

**Proposition 14.** When  $n > (t+1)^2(t+2)/4$ , every extremal graph for Problem 13 contains an isolated copy of  $K_{t+1}$ .

*Proof.* Let G = (V, E) be an extremal graph with |V| = n. Since every vertex lies in at least  $\binom{t}{2}$  triangles, it suffices to show that G contains a vertex of degree t and all of its neighbors also have degree t (thus inducing an isolated copy of  $K_{t+1}$ ).

Suppose n = a(t+1) + b, where  $0 < b \le t$ . Let G' be the disjoint union of a-1 copies of  $K_{t+1}$  together with two copies of  $K_{t+1}$  sharing t+1-b vertices. Since G is extremal, we have

$$2e(G) \le 2e(G') = tn + (t+1-b)b \le tn + (t+1)^2/4.$$

Partition V(G) into  $A \cup B$  such that A consists of all vertices of degree greater than t and B consists of all vertices of degree exactly t. Then

$$\sum_{v \in A} (d_G(v) - t) = \sum_{v \in V} (d_G(v) - t) = 2e(G) - tn \le (t+1)^2/4.$$

This implies that  $|A| \leq (t+1)^2/4$ . Let e(A, B) denote the number of edges (of G) between A and B. It follows that

$$e(A,B) \le \sum_{v \in A} d(v) \le \frac{1}{4}(t+1)^2 + t|A| \le \frac{1}{4}(t+1)^3.$$

Let B' consist of the vertices of B that are adjacent to some vertex of A. Then  $|B'| \le e(A, B) \le (t+1)^3/4$ . If  $n > (t+1)^2(t+2)/4$ , then n > |A| + |B'| and consequently, there exists a vertex of B whose t neighbors are all in B, as desired.

The t=2 case of Problem 13 assumes that every vertex in an n-vertex graph is contained in a triangle. Since  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ , it follows that  $e(G) \geq n$ , which is best possible when 3 divides n. Recently, Chakraborti and Loh [2] determined the minimum number of edges an n-vertex graph in which every vertex is contained in a copy of  $K_s$ , for arbitrary  $s \leq n$ . Their extremal graph is the union of copies of  $K_s$ , all but two of which are isolated.

Finally, using careful case analysis, we can answer Problem 13 exactly when t is very close to n. This falls into the r + t > 5n/6 case of Theorem 6 but  $G_2$  defined in Construction 8 is not necessarily extremal (unless both r and n/2 are integers).

- When n = t + 2, the (unique) extremal graph is  $K_n^-$ , the complete graph on n vertices minus one edge.
- When n = t + 3 is even, the (unique) extremal graph is  $K_n$  minus a perfect matching (provided t > 5). When n = t + 3 is odd,  $K_n$  minus a matching of size  $\frac{n-1}{2}$  is an extremal graph (provided t > 6).
- When n = t + 4, the complement of any  $K_3$ -free 2-regular graph on n vertices is an extremal graph. Note that r = n/2 2 in this case and thus  $G_2$  is one of the extremal graphs when n is even.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Guantao Chen and Po-Shen Loh for valuable discussions. They also thank two anonymous referees for their helpful comments.

# References

- [1] B. Bollobás, D. E. Daykin, and P. Erdős. Sets of independent edges of a hypergraph. Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), 27(105):25–32, 1976.
- [2] D. Chakraborti and P.-S. Loh. Extremal graphs with local covering conditions. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 34(2):1354–1374, 2020.
- [3] P. Erdős. Some problems on finite and infinite graphs. In *Logic and combinatorics (Arcata, Calif., 1985)*, volume 65 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 223–228. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [4] P. Erdös. Some theorems on graphs. Riveon Lematematika, 9:13-17, 1955.

- [5] V. Falgas-Ravry, K. Markström, and Y. Zhao. Triangle-degrees in graphs and tetrahedron coverings in 3-graphs. Combin. Probab. Comput., 30(2):175–199, 2021.
- [6] P. Frankl. Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem with conditions on the maximal degree. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 46(2):252–263, 1987.
- [7] P. Frankl, J. Han, H. Huang, and Y. Zhao. A degree version of the Hilton-Milner theorem. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 155:493–502, 2018.
- [8] P. Frankl and N. Tokushige. A note on Huang-Zhao theorem on intersecting families with large minimum degree. *Discrete Math.*, 340(5):1098–1103, 2017.
- [9] J. Han, C. Zang, and Y. Zhao. Minimum vertex degree thresholds for tiling complete 3-partite 3-graphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 149:115–147, 2017.
- [10] H. Huang and Y. Zhao. Degree versions of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem and Erdős hypergraph matching conjecture. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 150:233–247, 2017.
- [11] A. Jung. Shadow of hypergraphs with bounded degree. arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2109.08193, September 2021.
- [12] G. Katona. A theorem of finite sets. In Theory of graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966), pages 187–207. Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [13] J. B. Kruskal. The number of simplices in a complex. In Mathematical optimization techniques, pages 251–278. Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1963.
- [14] A. Kupavskii. Degree versions of theorems on intersecting families via stability. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 168:272–287, 2019.
- [15] A. Lo and K. Markström. ℓ-degree Turán density. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 28(3):1214–1225, 2014.
- [16] A. Lo and K. Markström. F-factors in hypergraphs via absorption. Graphs Combin., 31(3):679–712, 2015.
- [17] L. Lovász. Combinatorial problems and exercises. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1979.
- [18] D. Mubayi and Y. Zhao. Co-degree density of hypergraphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 114(6):1118–1132, 2007.
- [19] R. Mycroft. Packing k-partite k-uniform hypergraphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 138:60–132, 2016.

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, Hungary and Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA

Email address: z-furedi@illinois.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY, ATLANTA, GA 30303 Email address: yzhao6@gsu.edu