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#### Abstract

We use an algebraic method to prove a degree version of the celebrated Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem: given $n>2 k$, every intersecting $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ on $n$ vertices contains a vertex that lies on at most $\binom{n-2}{k-2}$ edges. This result implies the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem as a corollary. It can also be viewed as a special case of the degree version of a well-known conjecture of Erdős on hypergraph matchings. Improving the work of Bollobás, Daykin, and Erdős from 1976, we show that, given integers $n, k, s$ with $n \geq 3 k^{2} s$, every $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ on $n$ vertices with minimum vertex degree greater than $\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-s}{k-1}$ contains $s$ disjoint edges.


## 1 Introduction

Fix integers $1 \leq k \leq n$ and a set $X$ of $n$ elements. The celebrated theorem of Erdős, Ko and Rado [6] states that when $n \geq 2 k$, every intersecting family of $k$-subsets on $X$ has at most $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ members. Moreover, when $n>2 k$, the extremal family is unique (up to isomorphism): it consists of all the $k$-subsets of $X$ that contains a fixed element. We call such family a 1 -star (more precisely, $(n, k, 1)$ star). The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem is widely regarded as the cornerstone of extremal combinatorics and has many interesting applications and generalizations, see [10] for different proofs and [3] for a survey. One well-known generalization was given by Hilton and Milner [15], who showed that if $n>2 k$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is an intersecting family of $k$-subsets of $X$, then either $|\mathcal{H}| \leq\binom{ n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}+1$, or $\mathcal{H}$ is a subfamily of a 1 -star.

We may view a family $\mathcal{H}$ of $k$-subsets of $X$ as a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ with vertex set $X$ and edge set $\mathcal{H}$. Let $d<k$ be a nonnegative integer. Given a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ with a set $S$ of $d$ vertices, the degree of $S$, denoted by $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(S)$ or $\operatorname{simply} \operatorname{deg}(S)$, is the number of edges containing $S$ as a subset. The minimum $d$-degree $\delta_{d}(H)$ is the minimum of $\operatorname{deg}(S)$ over all the $d$-subsets of $V(H)$. For example, $\delta_{0}(H)=e(H)$ is the number of edges in $H$, and $\delta_{1}(H)$ is the minimum vertex degree of $H$.

In this paper we study $k$-uniform intersecting families from the point of view of the minimum vertex degree. There have been work on intersecting families with maximum degree conditions.

[^0]For example, Frankl [7] extended the Hilton-Milner theorem by giving sharp upper bounds on the size of intersecting families with certain maximum degree. There were also much recent work on other extremal problems with minimum degree conditions, such as the $d$-degree Turán problems (see, e.g., [21]) and hypergraph Dirac problems (see, e.g., [23]).

Our first result is a minimum degree version of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem.
Theorem 1.1 Given $n \geq 2 k+1$, if every vertex in a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ on $n$ vertices has degree at least $\binom{n-2}{k-2}$, then either $H$ is a 1-star, or $H$ contains two disjoint edges.

The minimum degree condition in Theorem 1.1 is sharp because of 1-stars. Remark 2.2 shows that the bound $n \geq 2 k+1$ is also necessary. Furthermore, Theorem 1.1 does not follow from the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem directly because a $k$-uniform hypergraph with $\delta(H) \geq\binom{ n-2}{k-2}$ may only have $\binom{n-2}{k-2} \frac{n}{k}<\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ edges. On the other hand, Theorem 1.1 implies the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem as a corollary (communicated to us by Jacob Fox). Indeed, the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem holds for $n=2 k$ trivially because every $k$-uniform hypergraph with $2 k$ vertices and more than $\binom{2 k}{k} / 2$ edges must contain two edges that are complement to each other. Then, when $n \geq 2 k+1$, assume that $H$ is an intersecting $k$-uniform hypergraph with $n$ vertices and at least $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ edges. If $\delta(H) \geq\binom{ n-2}{k-2}$, then Theorem 1.1 implies that $H$ is a 1-star. Otherwise, we delete a vertex of minimum degree, and the resulting hypergraph $H^{\prime}$ has more than $\binom{n-2}{k-1}$ edges. By the inductive hypothesis, $H^{\prime}$ (and thus $H$ ) is not intersecting.

It is not difficult to prove Theorem 1.1 for sufficiently large $n$. In fact, let $H$ be an intersecting $k$-uniform hypergraph with $\delta_{1}(H) \geq\binom{ n-2}{k-2}$. Recall that the Hilton-Milner theorem says that when $n>2 k$, every $H$ either satisfies $e(H) \leq\binom{ n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}+1$, or is a sub-hypergraph of some 1 -star. In the latter case, $H$ must be excactly a 1 -star. In the former case, the minimum degree condition implies that $e(H) \geq \frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}$. Simple computation shows that for $n$ sufficiently large compared to $k$ (say $\left.n \gg k^{2} / \log k\right), \frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}>\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}+1$ so the former case cannot happen.

Frankl and Tokushige [13] recently gave a combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.1 for $n \geq 3 k$. (Using their method, one can actually get $n \geq 2 k+3$ but it seems very hard to obtain the sharp bound $n \geq 2 k+1$.) In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we will develop techniques similar to the Hoffman bound, and apply a lemma from combinatorial geometry.

Our method also allows us to prove a generalization of Theorem 1.1. We say that two families $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ of $k$-subsets of $[n]$ are cross-intersecting if for every two sets $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$, their intersection $B \cap C$ is non-empty. Pyber [22] showed that, when $n \geq 2 k$, every two cross-intersecting families $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ satisfy $|\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C}| \leq\binom{ n-1}{k-1}^{2}$. The special case when $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{C}$ is exactly the Erdős-KoRado theorem. We are able to prove a degree version of Pyber's result, which is a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 For $n \geq 2 k+1$, two cross-intersecting families $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ of $k$-subsets of [ $n$ ] satisfy $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{B}) \delta_{1}(\mathcal{C}) \leq\binom{ n-2}{k-2}^{2}$.

A matching in a hypergraph $H$ is a collection of vertex-disjoint edges; the size of a matching is the number of edges in the matching. The matching number $\nu(H)$ is the maximum size of a matching in $H$. The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, when stated in the language of matchings, says that for $n \geq 2 k$, if a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ on $n$ vertices has more than $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ edges, then $\nu(H) \geq 2$. In 1965 Erdős [4] gave a conjecture that relates the size $e(H)$ of a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ to its matching number $\nu(H)$.

Conjecture 1.3 [4] Every $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ on $n$ vertices with matching number $\nu(H)<$ $s \leq n / k$ satisfies

$$
e(H) \leq \max \left\{\binom{k s-1}{k},\binom{n}{k}-\binom{n-s+1}{k}\right\}
$$

For $1 \leq i \leq k$, define $H_{n, k, s}^{i}$ to be the $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices whose vertex set contains a set $S$ of $s i-1$ vertices, and whose edge set consists of all $k$-sets $e$ such that $|e \cap S| \geq i$. It is clear that $H_{n, k, s}^{i}$ contains no matching of size s. Conjecture 1.3 says that the largest number of edges in a $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices not containing a matching of size $s$ is attained by $H_{n, k, s}^{1}$ or $H_{n, k, s}^{k}$.

The $k=2$ case of Conjecture 1.3 is a classic result of Erdős and Gallai [5]. When $k=3$, Frankl, Rödl and Ruciński [12] proved the conjecture for $s \leq n / 4$, and Łuczak and Mieczkowska [20] proved it for sufficiently large $s$. Recently Frankl [8] proved the conjecture for $k=3$. For arbitrary $k$, Erdős [4] proved the conjecture for $n \geq n_{0}(k, s)$; Bollobás, Daykin and Erdős [2] proved the conjecture for $n>2 k^{3}(s-1)$. Huang, Loh and Sudakov [17] improved it to $n \geq 3 k^{2} s$; Frankl, Luczak, and Mieczkowska [11] further improved to $n \geq 2 k^{2} s / \log k$. Recently Frankl [9] proved the conjecture for $n \geq(2 s-1) k-s+1$.

Bollobás, Daykin, and Erdős [2] also considered the minimum degree version of Conjecture 1.3. They showed that if $n>2 k^{3}(s-1)$ and $H$ is a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ on $n$ vertices with $\delta(H)>\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-s}{k-1}$, then $\nu(H) \geq s$. The construction $H_{n, k, s}^{1}$ shows that their minimum degree condition is best possible. Our next result improves this result by reducing the bound on $n$ to $n \geq 3 k^{2} s$.

Theorem 1.4 Given $n, k, s$ with $n \geq 3 k^{2} s$, if the degrees of every vertex in a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ on $n$ vertices is strictly greater than $\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-s}{k-1}$, then $H$ contains a matching of $s$ disjoint edges.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 follows the approach used by Huang, Loh and Sudakov [17] and applies Theorem 1.1 as the base case of the induction.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in the next section, prove Theorem 1.4 and discuss its fractional version in Section 3. The final section contains concluding remarks and open problems.

## 2 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

As we mentioned earlier in the introduction, it is easy to prove Theorem 1.1 for sufficiently large $n$. To obtain the tight bound $n \geq 2 n+1$, our proof uses the spectral method and relies on the following lemma from combinatorial geometry. To understand this lemma intuitively, we would like to point out that the $n=3$ case of this lemma says that given three vectors $\vec{u}_{1}, \vec{u}_{2}, \vec{u}_{3}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that the angle between any two of the vectors is 120 degree, then an arbitrary vector $\vec{v}$ must have an angle at least 120 degree with some of $\vec{u}_{i}$.

Lemma 2.1 Suppose $\vec{u}_{1}, \cdots, \vec{u}_{n}$ are $n$ distinct non-zero vectors of equal length in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ such that for all $i \neq j,\left\langle\vec{u}_{i}, \vec{u}_{j}\right\rangle$ are equal. Then for an arbitrary vector $\vec{v}$, there exists an index $i$ such that

$$
\left\langle\vec{v}, \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle \leq-\frac{1}{n-1}\left\langle\vec{u}_{i}, \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\langle\vec{v}, \vec{v}\rangle^{1 / 2}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that all $\vec{u}_{i}$ are unit vectors. Note that for $i \neq j$, $\left\|\vec{u}_{i}-\vec{u}_{j}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\vec{u}_{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\vec{u}_{j}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle\vec{u}_{i}, \vec{u}_{j}\right\rangle=2-2\left\langle\vec{u}_{i}, \vec{u}_{j}\right\rangle$ is a constant. It is well known that in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, if there are $n$ distinct points on the unit sphere whose pairwise distances are equal, then these points are the vertices of a regular simplex, and their center of mass is at the origin. Therefore we have

$$
2 \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left\langle\vec{u}_{i}, \vec{u}_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{u}_{i}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\vec{u}_{i}, \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle=-n,
$$

which implies that for $i \neq j,\left\langle\vec{u}_{i}, \vec{u}_{j}\right\rangle=-n /(n(n-1))=-1 /(n-1)$. Denote by $C_{i}$ the convex cone formed by all the nonnegative linear combinations of the $n-1$ vectors $\vec{u}_{1}, \cdots, \vec{u}_{i-1}, \vec{u}_{i+1}, \cdots, \vec{u}_{n}$. It is not hard to see that the union of $C_{i}$ is equal to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Therefore an arbitrary vector $\vec{v}$ can be represented as $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \vec{u}_{j}$ for some $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n} \geq 0$, such that $\alpha_{i}=0$ for some index $i$. As a result,

$$
\left\langle\vec{v}, \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\left\langle\vec{u}_{j}, \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} .
$$

On the other hand, since the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is convex and contains $\vec{u}_{i}$, we have $\vec{v} /\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\right)=$ $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \vec{u}_{j}\right) /\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}\right)$ is also in the unit ball. Therefore $\|v\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j}$ and consequently

$$
\left\langle\vec{v}, \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \leq-\frac{1}{n-1}\langle\vec{v}, \vec{v}\rangle^{1 / 2}
$$

which concludes the proof.
Lemma 2.1, combined with some ideas similar to that used to prove Hoffman's bound [16], leads to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## Proof of Theorem 1.1:

We start by assuming that the hypergraph $H$ is intersecting and all the vertex degrees are at least $\binom{n-2}{k-2}$. To prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show that under these assumptions $H$ must be a 1 -star. By double counting, the number of edges $e(H)$ is at least $\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}$.

Let $G$ be the Kneser graph $K G(n, k)$ whose vertices correspond to all the $k$-subsets of a set of $n$ elements, and where two vertices are adjacent if and only if the two corresponding sets are disjoint. Let $A$ be its adjacency matrix. It is known (see for example on Page 200 of [14]) that the eigenvalues of $A$ are $\lambda_{j}=(-1)^{j}\binom{n-k-j}{k-j}$ with multiplicity $\binom{n}{j}-\binom{n}{j-1}$ for $j=0, \cdots, k$ (assuming that $\binom{n}{-1}=0$ ). Moreover, the eigenspace $E_{0}$ of the eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}=\binom{n-k}{k}$ is spanned by the unit vector $\vec{v}_{1}=\overrightarrow{1} /\left(\sqrt{\binom{n}{k}}\right)$. The eigenspace $E_{1}$ of the eigenvalue $-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}$ is the orthogonal complement of $E_{0}$ in the subspace spanned by the characteristic vectors of all the $n$ distinct 1-stars. We assume that unit vectors $\vec{v}_{2}, \vec{v}_{3}, \cdots, \vec{v}_{n}$ form an orthogonal basis of $E_{1}$. We denote by $\vec{s}_{i}$ the characteristic vector of the 1-star centered at $i$. Since $\vec{s}_{i}$ is contained in $E_{0} \bigoplus E_{1}$, we may further assume that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vec{s}_{1}=s_{11} \vec{v}_{1}+s_{12} \vec{v}_{2}+\cdots+s_{1 n} \vec{v}_{n} \\
\vec{s}_{2}=s_{21} \vec{v}_{1}+s_{22} \vec{v}_{2}+\cdots+s_{2 n} \vec{v}_{n} \\
\vdots \\
\vec{s}_{n}=s_{n 1} \vec{v}_{1}+s_{n 2} \vec{v}_{2}+\cdots+s_{n n} \vec{v}_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly $\vec{v}_{1}, \cdots, \vec{v}_{n}$ can be extended to $\vec{v}_{1}, \cdots, \vec{v}_{\binom{n}{k}}$, which form an orthogonal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}}$, in which $\vec{v}_{\left(\begin{array}{c}n-1\end{array}\right)+1}, \cdots, \vec{v}_{\binom{n}{j}}$ are the eigenvectors for $\lambda_{j}=(-1)^{j}\binom{n-k-j}{k-j}$.

Now suppose the characteristic vector $\vec{h}$ of the hypergraph $H$ can be represented as $\vec{h}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n}{k}} h_{i} \vec{v}_{i}$. Since $H$ is intersecting, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\vec{h}^{T} A \vec{h}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \lambda_{i} \cdot\left(\sum_{j=\binom{n}{i-1}+1}^{\substack{n \\
i}} h_{j}^{2}\right) \\
& =\binom{n-k}{k} h_{1}^{2}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}\left(h_{2}^{2}+\cdots+h_{n}^{2}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{k} \lambda_{i} \cdot\left(\sum_{j=\binom{n}{i-1}+1}^{\binom{n}{i}} h_{j}^{2}\right) \text {. } \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $h_{1}=\left\langle\vec{h}, \vec{v}_{1}\right\rangle=e(H) / \sqrt{\binom{n}{k}}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n}{k}} h_{i}^{2}=\langle\vec{h}, \vec{h}\rangle=e(H)=\sqrt{\binom{n}{k}} h_{1}$. Moreover, we know that for $i \geq 2, \lambda_{i}=(-1)^{i}\binom{n-k-i}{k-i} \geq-\binom{n-k-3}{k-3}$. Following from these facts, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq\binom{ n-k}{k} h_{1}^{2}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j}^{2}\right)-\binom{n-k-3}{k-3}\left(\sqrt{\binom{n}{k}} h_{1}-h_{1}^{2}-\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j}^{2}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n \geq 2 k+1$, since $(n-k-1)(n-k-2) \geq k(k-1)>k(k-2)$, we have

$$
\binom{n-k-3}{k-3}=\frac{k(k-2)}{(n-k-1)(n-k-2)} \cdot \frac{k-1}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{k}<\frac{k-1}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{k} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq\binom{ n-k}{k} h_{1}^{2}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j}^{2}\right)-\frac{k-1}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{k}\left(\sqrt{\binom{n}{k}} h_{1}-h_{1}^{2}-\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j}^{2}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplifying this inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j}^{2} \geq(n-1) h_{1}^{2}-(k-1) \sqrt{\binom{n}{k}} h_{1}=\frac{n-1}{\binom{n}{k}} e(H)\left(e(H)-\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that the vectors $\vec{u}_{i}=\left(s_{i 2}, s_{i 3}, \cdots, s_{i n}\right), i=1, \cdots, n$, satisfy the assumptions in Lemma 2.1. First, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\vec{u}_{i}, \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle & =s_{i 2}^{2}+\cdots+s_{i n}^{2}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{i j}^{2}\right)-s_{i 1}^{2}=\left\langle\vec{s}_{i}, \vec{s}_{i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\vec{s}_{i}, \vec{v}_{1}\right\rangle^{2} \\
& =\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-1}{k-1}^{2} /\binom{n}{k}=\frac{(n-k) k}{n^{2}}\binom{n}{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

So all the vectors $\vec{u}_{i}$ are of equal lengths. On the other hand, we have that for $i \neq j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\vec{u}_{i}, \vec{u}_{j}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\vec{s}_{i}, \vec{s}_{j}\right\rangle-s_{i 1} s_{j 1}=\left\langle\vec{s}_{i}, \vec{s}_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle\vec{s}_{i}, \vec{v}_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\vec{s}_{j}, \vec{v}_{1}\right\rangle \\
& =\binom{n-2}{k-2}-\binom{n-1}{k-1}^{2} /\binom{n}{k}=-\frac{k(n-k)}{n^{2}(n-1)}\binom{n}{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 with $\vec{v}=\left(h_{2}, \cdots, h_{n}\right)$, we find an index $i$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j} s_{i j} & =\left\langle\vec{v}, \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle \leq-\frac{1}{n-1}\left\langle\vec{u}_{i}, \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\binom{n}{k} \cdot \frac{k(n-k)}{n^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, the degree of the vertex $i$ in $H$ is equal to $\left\langle\vec{h}, \vec{s}_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{j} s_{i j} \geq\binom{ n-2}{k-2}$. Since $h_{1}=e(H) / \sqrt{\binom{n}{k}}$ and $s_{i 1}=\binom{n-1}{k-1} / \sqrt{\binom{n}{k}}$, we also have

$$
h_{1} s_{i 1}=\frac{e(H)}{\sqrt{\binom{n}{k}}} \frac{\binom{n-1}{k-1}}{\sqrt{\binom{n}{k}}}=\frac{k}{n} e(H)
$$

Consequently $\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j} s_{i j} \geq\binom{ n-2}{k-2}-e(H) k / n$. Together with (5), this gives

$$
e(H) \frac{k}{n}-\binom{n-2}{k-2} \geq \frac{1}{n-1}\left(\binom{n}{k} \cdot \frac{k(n-k)}{n^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j}^{2} \leq\left(e(H)-\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{(n-1)^{2} k}{(n-k)\binom{n}{k}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the inequalities (4) and (6), we obtain that

$$
\frac{n-1}{\binom{n}{k}} e(H)\left(e(H)-\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}\right) \leq\left(e(H)-\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{(n-1)^{2} k}{(n-k)\binom{n}{k}},
$$

equivalently,

$$
\left(e(H)-\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}\right)\left(e(H)-\binom{n-1}{k-1}\right) \geq 0
$$

Thus either $e(H) \leq \frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}$ or $e(H) \geq\binom{ n-1}{k-1}$. In the former case we must have $e(H)=\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}$ because of the minimum degree condition. From (4) and (6), we derive that $\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j}^{2}=0$, and equalities are attained in (4) and (6) . Recall that we used $\binom{n-k-3}{k-3}<\frac{k-1}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{k}$ to get (3) from (2). We must have

$$
\sqrt{\binom{n}{k}} h_{1}-h_{1}^{2}-\sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j}^{2}=0
$$

equivalently $h_{1}=\sqrt{\binom{n}{k}}$ otherwise (3) becomes a strict inequality. It follows that $e(H)=\sqrt{\binom{n}{k}} h_{1}=$ $\binom{n}{k}>\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}$, a contradiction.

Therefore $e(H) \geq\binom{ n-1}{k-1}$. For $n \geq 2 k+1$, since the 1 -star is the unique example that attains the maximum in the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, the intersecting hypergraph $H$ must be the 1-star, which completes the proof.

Remark 2.2 We would like to point out that the $n \geq 2 k+1$ bound in Theorem 1.1 is sharp. Indeed, unlike the normal Erdös-Ko-Rado theorem, this degree analogue may no longer be valid for $n=2 k$. For example, when $n=6$ and $k=3, H=\{123,234,345,451,512,136,246,356,256,146\}$ is an intersecting hypergraph in which every vertex has degree exactly 5, which is strictly greater than $\binom{n-2}{k-2}=4$. In general, for $n=2 k$ and $k$ large, we can partition all the $k$-subsets of $[2 k]$ into $\binom{2 k}{k} / 2$ pairs, and randomly select exactly one $k$-subset from each pair. Such hypergraph is clearly intersecting, and the expected vertex degree is equal to $\left(\binom{2 k}{k} / 2\right) \cdot(k /(2 k))=\binom{2 k}{k} / 4$, which is strictly greater than $\binom{n-2}{k-2}=\frac{k-1}{4 k-2}\binom{2 k}{k}$. By using the Chernoff bound, one can obtain an intersecting hypergraph with minimum degree strictly greater than $\binom{n-2}{k-2}$ in this case.

The spectral method, together with some Cauchy-Schwarz type inequalities, allows us to prove Theorem 1.2, which is a generalization of Theorem 1.1 to cross-intersecting families.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: We assume that $\vec{v}_{1}, \cdots, \vec{v}_{\binom{n}{k}}$ are the same eigenvectors of the adjacency matrix $A$ of the Kneser graph as in the previous proof, and define $\vec{s}_{i}, s_{i j}$, and $\vec{u}_{i}$ as before. Let $\vec{b}$ and $\vec{c}$ be the characteristic vectors of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$. That $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are cross-intersecting implies that $\vec{b}^{T} \cdot A \cdot \vec{c}=0$. Suppose $\vec{b}=\sum_{i} b_{i} \vec{v}_{i}$ and $\vec{c}=\sum_{i} c_{i} \vec{v}_{i}$. Let $B=\sum_{j=2}^{n} b_{j}^{2}$ and $C=\sum_{j=2}^{n} c_{j}^{2}$. Similarly as (1), we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
0 & =\binom{n-k}{k} b_{1} c_{1}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1} \sum_{j=2}^{n} b_{j} c_{j}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} \lambda_{i} \cdot\left(\sum_{j=\binom{n}{i-1}+1}^{\binom{n}{i}} b_{j} c_{j}\right. \\
& \geq\binom{ n-k}{k} b_{1} c_{1}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1} \sqrt{B C}-\frac{k-1}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{k}\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{\binom{n}{k}} b_{j} c_{j}\right.
\end{array}\right), ~ \$
$$

by using $\lambda_{i} \geq-\binom{n-k-3}{k-3}>-\frac{k-1}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{k}$ for $i \geq 2$. Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we further have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq\binom{ n-k}{k} b_{1} c_{1}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1} \sqrt{B C}-\frac{k-1}{n-k}\binom{n-k}{k}\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{\binom{n}{k}} b_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{\binom{n}{k}} c_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\sum_{j=n+1}^{\binom{n}{k}} b_{j}^{2}=|\mathcal{B}|-|\mathcal{B}|^{2} /\binom{n}{k}-B$ and $\sum_{j=n+1}^{\binom{n}{k}} c_{j}^{2}=|\mathcal{C}|-|\mathcal{C}|^{2} /\binom{n}{k}-C$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}-y_{2}\right)} \leq \sqrt{x_{1} x_{2}}-\sqrt{y_{1} y_{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1} \geq y_{1} \geq 0$ and $x_{2} \geq y_{2} \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{\binom{n}{k}} b_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{\binom{n}{k}} c_{j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} & \leq \sqrt{\left(|\mathcal{B}|-|\mathcal{B}|^{2} /\binom{n}{k}\right)\left(|\mathcal{C}|-|\mathcal{C}|^{2} /\binom{n}{k}\right)}-\sqrt{B C} \\
& \leq \sqrt{|\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C}|}-|\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C}| /\binom{n}{k}-\sqrt{B C} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Using $b_{1}=|\mathcal{B}| / \sqrt{\binom{n}{k}}$ and $c_{1}=|\mathcal{C}| / \sqrt{\binom{n}{k}}$, we can combine (7) and (9) and obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{B C} \geq \frac{n-1}{\binom{n}{k}} \sqrt{|\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C}|}\left(\sqrt{|\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C}|}-\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\vec{\beta}$ (resp. $\vec{\gamma}$ ) the vector formed by taking the second to the $n$-th coordinate of $\vec{b}$ (resp. $\vec{c}$ ). Recall that $\vec{u}_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.1. Applying Lemma 2.1 twice, we find indices $i, j$ such that

$$
\left\langle\vec{\beta}, \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle \leq-\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\binom{n}{k} \frac{k(n-k)}{n^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2}, \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle\vec{\gamma}, \vec{u}_{j}\right\rangle \leq-\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\binom{n}{k} \frac{k(n-k)}{n^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} C^{1 / 2}
$$

Since $b_{1} s_{i 1}=|\mathcal{B}| k / n$ and $c_{1} s_{j 1}=|\mathcal{C}| k / n$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\vec{b}, \vec{s}_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\vec{c}, \vec{s}_{j}\right\rangle & =\left(b_{1} s_{i 1}+\left\langle\vec{\beta}, \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle\right)\left(c_{1} s_{i 1}+\left\langle\vec{\gamma}, \vec{u}_{i}\right\rangle\right) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{k}{n}|\mathcal{B}|-\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\binom{n}{k} \frac{k(n-k)}{n^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2}\right)\left(\frac{k}{n}|\mathcal{C}|-\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\binom{n}{k} \frac{k(n-k)}{n^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} C^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\frac{k}{n} \sqrt{|\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C}|}-\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\binom{n}{k} \frac{k(n-k)}{n^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}(B C)^{1 / 4}\right)^{2} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where we apply (8) in the last inequality. Now suppose the conclusion is false, that is, $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{B}) \delta_{1}(\mathcal{C})>$ $\binom{n-2}{k-2}^{2}$. Then $\left\langle\vec{b}, \vec{s}_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\vec{c}, \vec{s}_{j}\right\rangle>\binom{n-2}{k-2}^{2}$. Together with (11), this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{B C}<\left(\sqrt{|\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C}|}-\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{(n-1)^{2} k}{(n-k)\binom{n}{k}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities (10) and (12) together give

$$
\left(\sqrt{|\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C}|}-\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2}\right)\left(\sqrt{|\mathcal{B}||\mathcal{C}|}-\binom{n-1}{k-1}\right)>0
$$

Therefore we have $|\mathcal{B} \| \mathcal{C}|>\binom{n-1}{k-1}^{2}$. Pyber's theorem tells us that in this case, the families $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ cannot be cross-intersecting, which contradicts the assumption and proves $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{B}) \delta_{1}(\mathcal{C}) \leq\binom{ n-2}{k-2}^{2}$.

## 3 Larger matching number

In this section we prove Theorem 1.4 along with its fractional version. Our proof of Theorem 1.4 needs the following result from [17].

Lemma 3.1 [17, Corollary 3.2] If a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ on $n$ vertices has $s$ distinct vertices $v_{1}, \cdots, v_{s}$ with degrees $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)>2(s-1)\binom{n-2}{k-2}$ and $k s<n$, then $H$ contains $s$ disjoint edges $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{s}$ such that $v_{i} \in e_{i}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We need to show that every $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ on $n \geq 3 k^{2} s$ vertices with $\delta_{1}(H)>\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-s}{k-1}$ contains a matching of size $s$. We prove this by induction on $s$. The $s=2$ case follows from Theorem 1.1 immediately because $n \geq 6 k^{2}>2 k$. Suppose that the assertion holds for $s-1$. Let $H$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ with $\delta_{1}(H)>\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-s}{k-1}$. First assume $\operatorname{deg}(v)>k(s-1)\binom{n-2}{k-2}$ for some vertex $v$. Let $H_{v}$ be the sub-hypergraph of $H$ induced on $V(H) \backslash\{v\}$. For every vertex $u \in V\left(H_{v}\right), u$ and $v$ are contained in at most $\binom{n-2}{k-2}$ edges of $H$. Therefore the degree of $u$ in $H_{v}$ is strictly greater than

$$
\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-s}{k-1}-\binom{n-2}{k-2}=\binom{n-2}{k-1}-\binom{n-s}{k-1}
$$

Since $3 k^{2} \leq n / s \leq(n-1) /(s-1)$, we can apply the induction hypothesis and obtain a matching of size $s-1$ in $H_{v}$. Each of the $(s-1) k$ vertices in this matching is contained at most $\binom{n-2}{k-2}$ edges with $v$. Since $\operatorname{deg}_{H}(v)>k(s-1)\binom{n-2}{k-2}$, there exists an edge containing $v$ but disjoint from the $s-1$ edges in the matching - together they form a matching of size $s$ in $H$.

We may thus assume that the maximum degree of $H$ is at most $k(s-1)\binom{n-2}{k-2}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{1}\right) \geq \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{2}\right) \geq \cdots \geq \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{n}\right)$. If $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{s}\right)>2(s-1)\binom{n-2}{k-2}$, then by Lemma 3.1, $H$ contains $s$ disjoint edges $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{s}$ such that $e_{i}$ contains $v_{i}$. What remains to consider is the case when $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{1}\right), \cdots, \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{s-1}\right) \leq k(s-1)\binom{n-2}{k-2}$, and $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{s}\right), \cdots, \operatorname{deg}\left(v_{n}\right) \leq 2(s-1)\binom{n-2}{k-2}$. We first apply the inductive hypothesis and obtain a matching $M$ of size $s-1$ in $H$ (one smaller than we would like to prove). The sum of the degrees of the $(s-1) k$ vertices in $M$ is at most

$$
(s-1) \cdot k(s-1)\binom{n-2}{k-2}+(s-1)(k-1) \cdot 2(s-1)\binom{n-2}{k-2}=(3 k-2)(s-1)^{2}\binom{n-2}{k-2}
$$

On the other hand, $e(H)$, the number of edges of $H$, is greater than $\frac{n}{k}\left(\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-s}{k-1}\right)>\frac{n}{k}(s-$ 1) $\binom{n-s}{k-2}$. Note that

$$
\binom{n-s}{k-2} /\binom{n-2}{k-2}>\left(1-\frac{s-2}{n-k}\right)^{k-2} \geq 1-\frac{(s-2)(k-2)}{n-k} \geq 1-\frac{1}{3 k}
$$

because $n-k \geq 3 k(s-2)(k-2)$. Therefore,

$$
e(H)>\frac{n(s-1)}{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{3 k}\right)\binom{n-2}{k-2}>(3 k-2)(s-1)^{2}\binom{n-2}{k-2}
$$

because $n \geq 3 k^{2} s$. Since the sum of the degrees of the vertices in $M$ is smaller than $e(H)$, there is an edge of $H$ disjoint from $M$, which together with $M$ gives a matching of size $s$ as desired.

Remark 3.2 As mentioned in the introduction, when $k \geq 3$ and $n \geq k^{2}$, the Hilton-Milner theorem already implies the base case of the induction because

$$
\frac{n}{k}\binom{n-2}{k-2} \geq k\binom{n-2}{k-2}>\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}+1
$$

Thus Theorem 1.1 is not necessary for proving Theorem 1.4.

If we are interested in fractional matchings, then we can prove an analog of Theorem 1.4 with a linear bound on $n$ by using the result of Frankl [9]. A fractional matching in $H$ is a function $w: E(H) \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that for each $v \in V(H)$, we have $\sum_{v \in e} w(e) \leq 1$. The size of a fractional matching is $\sum_{e} w(e)$. The size of the largest fractional matching of $H$ is called the fractional matching number of $H$ and usually denoted by $\nu^{*}(H)$. Trivially $\nu^{*}(H) \geq \nu(H)$. By the strong duality, $\nu^{*}(H)$ is equal to the fractional covering number $\tau^{*}(H)$, which is the minimum of $\sum_{v \in V(H)} f(v)$ over all functions $f: V(H) \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that for each edge $e \in E(H)$, we have $\sum_{v \in e} f(v) \geq 1$.

Given integers $n \geq k>d \geq 0$ and a real number $0<s \leq n / k$, define $f_{d}^{s}(k, n)$ to be the smallest integer $m$ such that every $k$-graph $H$ on $n$ vertices with $\delta_{d}(H) \geq m$ contains a fractional matching of size $s$. The following (simple) proposition relates $f_{1}^{s}(k, n)$ to $f_{0}^{s}(k-1, n-1)$. Its proof is almost identical to the one of [1, Proposition 1.1] - we include it for completeness.

Proposition 3.3 For $k \geq 3$ and $s \leq n / k$, we have $f_{1}^{s}(k, n) \leq f_{0}^{s}(k-1, n-1)$.

Proof. Let $s \leq n / k$ and $H$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph on $H$ on $n$ vertices with $\delta_{1}(H) \geq f_{0}^{s}(k-$ $1, n-1$ ). Since $\nu^{*}(H)=\tau^{*}(H)$, it suffices to show that $\tau^{*}(H) \geq s$. Suppose the vertices of $H$ are indexed by $[n]$, and $\tau^{*}(H)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$, with $\sum_{i \in e} x_{i} \geq 1$ for all edges $e \in E(H)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_{1} \geq x_{2} \geq \cdots \geq x_{n} \geq 0$. The assumption that $\delta_{1}(H) \geq$ $f_{0}^{s}(k-1, n-1)$ means that there are at least $f_{0}^{s}(k-1, n-1)(k-1)$-subsets of $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}\right\}$ whose sum with $x_{n}$ is at least 1 .

We consider the hypergraph $H^{\prime}$ formed by all the $(k-1)$-sets $S$ of $\{1, \cdots, n-1\}$ such that $\left(\sum_{i \in S} x_{i}\right)+x_{n} \geq 1$, then $H^{\prime}$ is a $(k-1)$-uniform hypergraph on $n-1$ vertices, with $e\left(H^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $f_{0}^{s}(k-1, n-1)$. By the definition of $f_{0}^{s}(k-1, n-1)$, there is a fractional matching of size $s$ in $H^{\prime}$. Denote by $w: E\left(H^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow[0,1]$ this fractional matchings. We have $\sum_{e \ni i} w(e) \leq 1$ for every $i$ and $\sum_{e} w(e)=s$. Since $x_{i} \geq x_{n}$ for every $i$ and $\sum_{j \in e} x_{j} \geq 1-x_{n}$ for every $e \in E\left(H^{\prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} & =\sum_{i}\left(\sum_{e \ni i} w(e)\right) x_{i}+\sum_{i}\left(1-\sum_{e \ni i} w(e)\right) x_{i} \\
& \geq \sum_{e} w(e) \sum_{i \in e} x_{i}+x_{n} \sum_{i}\left(1-\sum_{e \ni i} w(e)\right) \\
& \geq\left(1-x_{n}\right) \sum_{e} w(e)+x_{n}\left(n-\sum_{e} \sum_{i \in e} w(e)\right) \\
& =\left(1-x_{n}\right) s+x_{n}(n-(k-1) s) x_{n} \geq s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality holds because $n \geq k s$. Therefore $\nu^{*}(H)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \geq s$.
Frankl's [9] proved Conjecture 1.3 for $n \geq(2 s-1) k-s+1$, which implies that $f_{0}^{s}(k, n)=$ $\binom{n}{k}-\binom{n-s+1}{k}+1$. By Proposition 3.3, when $n-1 \geq(2 s-1)(k-1)-s+1$, we have

$$
f_{1}^{s}(k, n) \leq f_{0}^{s}(n-1, k-1)=\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-s}{k-1}+1 .
$$

We rewrite this as the following corollary.
Corollary 3.4 If $n \geq(2 s-1)(k-1)-s+2$ and $H$ is a $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices with $\delta_{1}(H)>\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-s}{k-1}$, then $\nu^{*}(H) \geq s$.

## 4 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we consider the degree versions of the Erdős hypergraph matching conjecture and settle the problem for the case when the matching number is one, proving a degree version of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem. Many intriguing problems with respect to minimum degrees remain open.

- Hilton and Milner [15] proved that if $n \geq 2 k+1$, and $H$ is an intersecting hypergraph that is non-trivial, meaning that the edges of $H$ do not share a common vertex, then $e(H) \leq\binom{ n-1}{k-1}-$ $\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}+1$. The edge set of the extremal hypergraph $H M_{n, k}$ consists of a $k$-subset $S$, and all the $k$-sets containing a fixed element $v \notin S$ and intersects with $S$. It is not hard to see that the minimum degree $\delta_{1}\left(H M_{n, k}\right)=\binom{n-2}{k-2}-\binom{n-k-2}{k-2}$. The following question naturally arises: is it true that for $n \geq 2 k+1$, if $H$ is a non-trivial intersecting hypergraph, then $\delta_{1}(H) \leq\binom{ n-2}{k-2}-\binom{n-k-2}{k-2}$ ? In a forthcoming paper, we prove this for sufficiently large $n$.
- In Theorem 1.2, we show that for two cross-intersecting families $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, the product of the minimum degrees satisfies $\delta_{1}(\mathcal{B}) \delta_{1}(\mathcal{C}) \leq\binom{ n-2}{k-2}^{2}$. Is it true that for sufficiently large $n$, indeed we can have $\min _{i \in[n]} \operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{B}}(i) \operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{C}}(i) \leq\binom{ n-2}{k-2}^{2}$ ? In other words, if the product of the degrees of the corresponding vertices in $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is large, would it guarantee the existence of disjoint cross pairs?
- Recall that $H_{n, k, s}^{1}$ is the $k$-uniform hypergraph on $n$ vertices whose vertex set contains a set $S$ of $s-1$ vertices, and whose edge set consists of all $k$-sets $e$ such that $|e \cap S| \geq 1$. Theorem 1.4 shows that when $n \geq 3 k^{2} s$, the largest minimum vertex degree of a $k$-uniform hypergraph not containing a matching of size $s$ is attained by $H_{n, k, s}^{1}$. We conjecture the same holds when $n>k s$ (the $n=k s$ case is excluded because of Remark 2.2).

Conjecture 4.1 Given positive integers $s, k, n$ such that $s<n / k$, let $H$ be a $k$-uniform hypergraph $H$ on $n$ vertices. If $\delta_{1}(H)>\binom{n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-s}{k-1}$, then $H$ contains a matching of size $s$.

Our Theorem 1.1 verifies this conjecture for $s=2$. A result of Kühn, Osthus and Treglown [19] confirmed the case when $k=3$ and $n$ is sufficiently large. When $n \geq 2(k-1) s$, an asymptotic version of this conjecture was proved by Kühn, Osthus and Townsend [18]. A more general conjecture on the minimum $d$-degree appeared in [24].
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