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#### Abstract

Let $A$ be a locally analytically unramified local ring and $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k}, I$ ideals such that $J_{i} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ for all $i$, the ideal $I$ is not nilpotent, and $\bigcap_{k} I^{k}=(0)$. Let $C=$ $C\left(J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k} ; I\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ be the cone generated by $\left\{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}, n\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1} \mid J_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots J_{k}^{m_{k}} \subseteq\right.$ $\left.I^{n}\right\}$. We prove that the topological closure of $C$ is a rational polyhedral cone. This generalizes results by Samuel, Nagata, and Rees.


## Introduction

In this note we continue the study of the asymptotic properties of powers of ideals initiated by Samuel in [8]. Let $A$ be a commutative noetherian ring with identity and $I, J$ ideals in $A$ with $J \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Also, assume that the ideal $I$ is not nilpotent and $\bigcap_{k} I^{k}=(0)$. Then for each positive integer $m$ one can define $v_{I}(J, m)$ to be the largest integer $n$ such that $J^{m} \subseteq I^{n}$. Similarly, $w_{J}(I, n)$ is defined to be the smallest integer $m$ such that $J^{m} \subseteq I^{n}$. Under the above assumptions, Samuel proved that the sequences $\left\{v_{I}(J, m) / m\right\}_{m}$ and $\left\{w_{J}(I, n) / n\right\}_{n}$ have limits $l_{I}(J)$ and $L_{J}(I)$, respectively, and $l_{I}(J) L_{J}(I)=1$ [8, Theorem 1]. It is also observed that these limits are actually the supremum and infimum of the respective sequences. One of the questions raised in Samuel's paper is whether $l_{I}(J)$ is always rational. This has been positively answered by Nagata [4] and Rees [5]. The approach used by Rees is described in the next section of this paper.

We consider the following generalization of the problem described above. Let $J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k}, I$ be ideals in a locally analytically unramified ring $A$ such that $J_{i} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ for all $i, I$ is not nilpotent, and $\bigcap_{k} I^{k}=(0)$, and let $C=C\left(J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k} ; I\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ be the cone generated by $\left\{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}, n\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1} \mid J_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots J_{k}^{m_{k}} \subseteq I^{n}\right\}$. We prove that the topological closure of $C$ is a rational polyhedral cone; i.e., a polyhedral cone bounded by hyperplanes whose equations have rational coefficients. Note that the case $k=1$ follows from the results proved by Samuel, Nagata, and Rees; the cone $C$ is the intersection of the half-planes given by $n \geq 0$ and $n \leq l_{I}(J) m_{1}$. In Section 3 we look at the periodicity of the rate of change of the sequence $\left\{v_{I}(J, m)\right\}_{m}$, more precisely, the periodicity of the sequence $\left\{v_{I}(J, m+1)-v_{I}(J, m)\right\}_{m}$. The last part of the paper describes a method of computing the limits studied by Samuel in the case of monomial ideals.

## 1. The Rees valuations of an ideal

In this section we give a brief description of the Rees valuations associated to an ideal.
For a noetherian ring $A$ which is not necessarily an integral domain, a discrete valuation on $A$ is defined as follows.

[^0]Definition 1.1. Let $A$ be a noetherian ring. We say that $v: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup\{\infty\}$ is a discrete valuation on $A$ if $\{x \in A \mid v(x)=\infty\}$ is a prime ideal $P, v$ factors through $A \rightarrow A / P \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z} \cup\{\infty\}$, and the induced function on $A / P$ is a rank one discrete valuation on $A / P$. If $I$ is an ideal in $A$, then we denote $v(I):=\min \{v(x) \mid x \in I\}$.

If $R$ is a noetherian ring, we denote by $\bar{R}$ the integral closure of $R$ in its total quotient ring $Q(R)$.

Definition 1.2. Let $I$ be an ideal in a noetherian ring $A$. An element $x \in A$ is said to be integral over $I$ if $x$ satisfies an equation $x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{n}=0$ with $a_{i} \in I^{i}$. The set of all elements in $A$ that are integral over $I$ is an ideal $\bar{I}$, and the ideal $I$ is called integrally closed if $I=\bar{I}$. If all the powers $I^{n}$ are integrally closed, then $I$ is said to be normal.

Given an ideal $I$ in a noetherian ring $A$, for each $x \in A$ let $v_{I}(x)=\sup \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \in I^{n}\right\}$. Rees [5] proved that for each $x \in A$ one can define

$$
\bar{v}_{I}(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v_{I}\left(x^{k}\right)}{k},
$$

and for each integer $n$ one has $\bar{v}_{I}(x) \geq n$ if and only if $x \in \overline{I^{n}}$. Moreover, there exist discrete valuations $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{h}$ on $A$ in the sense defined above, and positive integers $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{h}$ such that, for each $x \in A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{v}_{I}(x)=\min \left\{\left.\frac{v_{i}(x)}{e_{i}} \right\rvert\, i=1, \ldots, h\right\} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We briefly describe a construction of the Rees valuations $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{h}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{g}$ be the minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ in $A$ such that $\mathfrak{p}+I \neq A$, and let $\mathcal{R}_{i}(I)$ be the Rees ring $\left(A / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)\left[I t, t^{-1}\right]$. Denote by $W_{i 1}, \ldots, W_{i h_{i}}$ the rank one discrete valuation rings obtained by localizing the rings $\overline{\mathcal{R}_{i}(I)}$ at the minimal primes over $t^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{R}_{i}(I)}$, let $w_{i j}\left(i=1, \ldots, g, 1 \leq j \leq h_{i}\right)$ be the corresponding discrete valuations, and let $V_{i j}=W_{i j} \cap Q\left(A / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)(i=1, \ldots, g)$. Then define $v_{i j}(x):=w_{i j}\left(x+\mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)$ and $e_{i j}:=w_{i j}\left(t^{-1}\right)\left(=v_{i j}(I)\right)$ for all $i$, and for simplicity, renumber them as $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{h}$ and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{h}$, respectively.

Rees [5] proved that $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{h}$ are valuations satisfying (1.1). We refer the reader to the original article [5] for more details on this construction.
Remark 1.3. With the notation established above, for every positive integer $n$ we have

$$
\overline{I^{n}}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{h} I^{n} V_{i} \cap R .
$$

In particular, we have the following.
Remark 1.4. If $K, L$ are ideals in $A, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{h}$ are the Rees valuations of $L$, and $v_{i}(K) \geq v_{i}(L)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, h$, then $\bar{K} \subseteq \bar{L}$.

The rationality of $l_{I}(J)$ can now be obtained as consequence of the results of Rees. Indeed, by [8, Theorem 2], if $J=\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{s}\right)$, then $l_{I}(J)=\min \left\{l_{I}\left(a_{i}\right) \mid i=1, \ldots s\right\}$, and for each $i$ we have $l_{I}\left(a_{i}\right)=\bar{v}_{I}\left(a_{i}\right)$, which is rational.

Finally, recall the following definition.
Definition 1.5. A local noetherian ring $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is analytically unramified if its $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion $\hat{A}$ is reduced.

Rees [6] proved that for every ideal $I$ in an analytically unramified ring there exists an integer $k$ such that for all $n \geq 0, \overline{I^{n+k}} \subseteq I^{n}$.

## 2. The cone structure

Throughout this section $A$ is a locally analytically unramified ring and $I$ and $\underline{J}=J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k}$ are ideals in $A$ such that $J_{i} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ for all $i$. Let $C=C\left(J_{1}, \ldots, J_{k} ; I\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ denote the cone generated by $\left\{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}, n\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1} \mid J_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots J_{k}^{m_{k}} \subseteq I^{n}\right\}$. Also, for each $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{k}$, let $v_{I}\left(\underline{J}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$ denote the largest nonnegative integer $n$ such that $J_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots J_{k}^{m_{k}} \subseteq I^{n}$.

For each Rees valuation $v_{j}$ of $I$, denote $\alpha_{i j}=v_{j}\left(J_{i}\right) / e_{j}$ for all $i, j$, where $e_{j}=v_{j}(I)$. Then we consider

$$
D_{j}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k} \mid \sum_{s=1}^{k} m_{s} \alpha_{s j} \leq \sum_{s=1}^{k} m_{s} \alpha_{s l} \text { for all } l \neq j\right\}
$$

and we say that a Rees valuation $v_{j}$ is relevant if $D_{j} \neq\{0\}$. After a renumbering, assume that $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{r}(r \leq h)$ are the relevant Rees valuations.

Note that each $D_{j}$ is an intersection of half-spaces (hence a polyhedral cone), $\bigcup_{j=1}^{r} D_{j}=$ $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k}$, and two cones $D_{i}, D_{j}(i \neq j)$ either intersect along one common face or have only the origin in common. Let

$$
E_{j}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}, n\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{k+1} \mid\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right) \in D_{j} \text { and } n<\sum_{s=1}^{k} m_{s} \alpha_{s j}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\bar{E}_{j}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}, n\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{k+1} \mid\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right) \in D_{j} \text { and } n \leq \sum_{s=1}^{k} m_{s} \alpha_{s j}\right\}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $A$ be a locally analytically unramified ring. Then for each $j=1, \ldots, r$ we have

$$
E_{j} \cap \mathbb{Q}^{k+1} \subseteq C \cap\left(D_{j} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\right) \subseteq \bar{E}_{j}
$$

Proof. Let $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}, n\right) \in C \cap\left(D_{j} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\right)$. Then there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $t m_{1}, \ldots, t m_{k}$ are positive integers and

$$
J_{1}^{t m_{1}} \ldots J_{k}^{t m_{k}} \subseteq I^{t n}
$$

Hence, for each Rees valuation $v_{j}$ of $I$ we obtain

$$
t m_{1} v_{j}\left(J_{1}\right)+\cdots+t m_{k} v_{j}\left(J_{k}\right) \geq t n v_{j}(I)
$$

or equivalently,

$$
n \leq \sum_{s=1}^{k} m_{s} \alpha_{s j}
$$

For the other inclusion, first observe that it is enough to prove that $E_{j} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} \subseteq C \cap$ $\left(D_{j} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\right)$. Indeed, if $E_{j} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} \subseteq C \cap\left(D_{j} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\right)$, then for each $\alpha \in E_{j} \cap \mathbb{Q}^{k+1}$ there exists a positive integer $L$ such that $\alpha L \in E_{j} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} \subseteq C \cap\left(D_{j} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\right)$. This implies that $\alpha \in(1 / L)\left(C \cap\left(D_{j} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\right)\right)=C \cap\left(D_{j} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\right)$

Let $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}, n\right) \in E_{j} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$. Set $\alpha=\sum_{s=1}^{k} m_{s} \alpha_{s j}$. Since the ring $A$ is analytically unramified, there exists an integer $N$ such that $\overline{I^{t}} \subseteq I^{t-N}$ for all $t$. (The convention is that
$I^{n}=A$ for $n \leq 0$.) Let $g$ be the integer part of $\alpha$. For any Rees valuation $v_{i}$ of $A$ we then get

$$
v_{i}\left(I^{g}\right)=g e_{i} \leq \alpha e_{i} \leq\left(\sum_{s=1}^{k} m_{s} \alpha_{s i}\right) e_{i}=v_{i}\left(J_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots J_{k}^{m_{k}}\right)
$$

and hence, by Remark 1.4,

$$
J_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots J_{k}^{m_{k}} \subseteq \overline{I^{g}} \subseteq I^{g-N}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{I}\left(\underline{J}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right) \geq g-N>\alpha-1-N \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n<\alpha$, we can find $\delta>0$ such that $n<\alpha-\delta$. Choose $l$ such that $l \delta>N+1$ and $l m_{1}, \ldots, l m_{k}$, ln are integers. By (2.1), we obtain $v_{I}\left(\underline{J}, l m_{1}, \ldots, l m_{k}\right)>l \alpha-N-1$, and by the choice of $l$, we also have $n l<l \alpha-N-1$. Then $n l<v_{I}\left(\underline{J}, l m_{1}, \ldots, l m_{k}\right)$, which implies that $J_{1}^{l m_{1}} \ldots J_{k}^{l m_{k}} \subseteq I^{l n}$; i.e., $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}, n\right) \in C$.
Corollary 2.2. The topological closure of $C$ is a rational polyhedral cone.
Proof. From the previous theorem it follows that the topological closure of $C \cap\left(D_{j} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\right)$ is $\bar{E}_{j}$, and hence the topological closure of $C$ is the polyhedral cone bounded by the hyperplanes $n=\sum_{s=1}^{k} m_{s} \alpha_{s j}(j=1, \ldots, r)$ and the coordinate hyperplanes.

A detailed example of Corollary 2.2 is given below in Example 2.5.
Corollary 2.3. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}$ be real numbers. The limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v_{I}\left(\underline{J}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)}{a_{1} m_{1}+\ldots+a_{k} m_{k}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists if and only if there exists a rational number $l$ such that $l a_{s}=\alpha_{s 1}=\alpha_{s 2}=\ldots=\alpha_{s r}$ for all $s=1, \ldots, k$. In this case the limit is equal to $l$.
Proof. Since the polyhedral cones $D_{j}$ form a partition of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k}$, the limit (2.2) exists and is equal to $l$ if and only if for each $j$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k} \rightarrow \infty \\\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right) \in D_{j}}} \frac{v_{I}\left(\underline{J}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)}{a_{1} m_{1}+\ldots+a_{k} m_{k}}=l . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, (2.3) holds if and only if $l a_{s}=\alpha_{s j}$ for all $s=1, \ldots, k$. Indeed, this limit exists and is equal to $l$ if and only if over $D_{j}$ the topological closure of $C$ is bounded by the hyperplane $n=l a_{1} m_{1}+\ldots+l a_{k} m_{k}$, which therefore should coincide with the hyperplane $n=\sum_{s=1}^{k} m_{s} \alpha_{s j}$.

In conlusion, the limit (2.2) exists and is equal to $l$ if and only if all the hyperplanes $n=\sum_{s=1}^{k} m_{s} \alpha_{s j}(j=1, \ldots, r)$ coincide with $n=l a_{1} m_{1}+\ldots+l a_{k} m_{k}$, or equivalently, $l a_{s}=\alpha_{s 1}=\alpha_{s 2}=\ldots=\alpha_{s r}$ for all $s=1, \ldots, k$.

Corollary 2.4. Assume that the ideal I has only one Rees valuation. Then the limit

$$
\lim _{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v_{I}\left(\underline{J}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)}{a_{1} m_{1}+\ldots+a_{k} m_{k}}
$$

exists if and only if $l_{I}\left(J_{1}\right) / a_{1}=\ldots=l_{I}\left(J_{k}\right) / a_{k}$.
Proof. This is a particular case of the previous Corollary.

Example 2.5. Let $A=\mathbb{R}[[X, Y, Z]] /\left(X Y^{2}-Z^{9}\right)$ and $I=(x, y, z) R$ as in [3, Example 3.1]. Then $\mathcal{R}(I)=A\left[I t, t^{-1}\right], \mathcal{R}(I) / t^{-1} \mathcal{R}(I) \cong Q[x t, y t, z t] /(x t)(y t)$, and there are two Rees valuations $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, corresponding to the minimal primes $\mathfrak{p}_{1}=\left(x t, t^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{2}=\left(y t, t^{-1}\right)$, over $t^{-1} \mathcal{R}(I)$. As shown in [3, Example 3.1], we have $v_{1}(x)=7, v_{1}(y)=v_{1}(z)=1$ and $v_{2}(x)=v_{2}(z)=1, v_{2}(y)=4$. Thus $v_{1}(I)=\min \left\{v_{1}(x), v_{1}(y), v_{1}(z)\right\}=1$. Likewise $v_{2}(I)=1$. Set $J_{1}=\left(x, z^{2}\right)$ and $J_{2}=\left(y^{2}, z^{3}\right)$. Then $v_{1}\left(J_{1}\right)=2, v_{2}\left(J_{1}\right)=1$, and $v_{1}\left(J_{2}\right)=2, v_{2}\left(J_{2}\right)=3$. Therefore, $E_{1}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n\right) \mid n \leq 2 m_{1}+2 m_{2}\right\}$ and $E_{1}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n\right) \mid n \leq m_{1}+3 m_{2}\right\}$. The boundary planes of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ are $z=2 x+2 y$ and $z=x+3 y$, respectively. Thus, according to the results of Corollary 2.2, the topological closure of the cone generated by $\left\{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, n\right) \mid J_{1}^{m_{1}} J_{2}^{m_{2}} \subseteq I^{n}\right\}$ is as pictured below.


Figure 1. View from the front and rotated $90^{\circ}$ ctr-clockwise around the $z$-axis.

Example 2.6. Let $A=k[[X, Y]]$, with $k$ a field, and $I=\left(x^{3}, x^{2} y, y^{2}\right)$. As shown in [7], $I$ has only one associated Rees valuation. Let $J_{1}=\left(x^{3} y^{7}\right), J_{2}=\left(x^{4} y^{6}\right)$, and $J_{3}=\left(x^{5} y^{2}\right)$. Using the methods in Section 4, we can compute $l_{I}\left(J_{1}\right)=9 / 2, l_{I}\left(J_{2}\right)=13 / 3$, and $l_{I}\left(J_{3}\right)=8 / 3$. Then by Corollary 2.4, the limit

$$
\lim _{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v_{I}\left(J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{3}, m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)}{27 m_{1}+26 m_{2}+16 m_{3}}
$$

exists and equals $\frac{1}{6}$ since $\frac{l_{I}\left(J_{1}\right)}{27}=\frac{l_{I}\left(J_{2}\right)}{26}=\frac{l_{I}\left(J_{3}\right)}{16}=\frac{1}{6}$.

## 3. Periodic Increase

In this section we take a closer look at the graph of the sequence $\left\{v_{I}(J, m)\right\}_{m}$. To simplify the notation we will simply write $v(m)$ instead of $v_{I}(J, m)$.

We address the question of whether this sequence increases eventually in a periodic way; that is, whether or not there exists a positive integer $t$ such that $v(m+t)-v(m+t-1)=$ $v(m)-v(m-1)$ for $m \gg 0$, or equivalently, $v(m+t)-v(m)=$ constant, for $m \gg 0$. Our work is partly motivated by [4, Theorem 8], where Nagata proves that the deviation $v(m)-l_{I}(J) m$ is bounded. In particular, this implies that there exists a positive constant $C$ such that $0 \leq v(m+t)-v(m)-v(t)<C$ for all $m, t$.

We begin by defining noetherian filtrations.
Definition 3.1. A family of ideals $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$ in a noetherian ring $A$ is called a filtration if $F_{0}=A, F_{m+1} \subseteq F_{m}$, and $F_{m} F_{n} \subseteq F_{m+n}$ for all $m, n \geq 0$. We say that the filtration $\left\{F_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$ is noetherian if the associated graded ring $\oplus_{m \geq 0} F_{m}$ is noetherian. Equivalently, the filtration $\mathcal{F}$ is noetherian if and only if there exists $t$ such that $F_{m+t}=F_{m} F_{t}$ for all $m \geq t$ ([1, 4.5.12]).
Proposition 3.2. Let $I, J$ be ideals in a noetherian local ring $A$ such that $J \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, the ideals $I, J$ are not nilpotent, and $\bigcap_{k} I^{k}=(0)$. Assume that $J$ is principal and the ring $\mathcal{B}=\bigoplus_{m, n} J^{m} \cap I^{n}$ is noetherian. Then there exists a positive integer $t$ such that $v(m+t)=$ $v(m)+v(t)$ for all $m \geq t$.
Proof. In the ring $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^{n}$ consider the filtration $\left\{F_{m}\right\}$ with $F_{m}=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} J^{m} \cap I^{n}$. Since $\mathcal{B}=\oplus_{m \geq 0} F_{m}$ is noetherian, there exists a positive integer $t$ such that $F_{m+t}=F_{m} F_{t}$ for all $m \geq \bar{t}$. We will prove that this implies $v(m+t)=v(m)+v(t)$ for all $m \geq t$. First note that the inequality $v(m+t) \geq v(m)+v(t)$ always holds. By contradiction, assume that $v(m+t)>v(m)+v(t)$ for some $m \geq t$. This implies that the component of degree $v(m)+v(t)+1$ in $F_{m+t}$ is $J^{m+t}$, and since $F_{m+t}=F_{m} F_{t}$ we then obtain

$$
J^{m+t}=J^{t}\left(J^{m} \cap I^{v(m)+1}\right)+J^{m}\left(J^{t} \cap I^{v(t)+1}\right) .
$$

Let $J=(z)$. Then we have

$$
(z)^{m+t}=z^{m+t}\left(I^{v(m)+1}: z^{m}\right)+z^{m+t}\left(I^{v(t)+1}: z^{t}\right)
$$

From the definition of $v(-)$, both $\left(I^{v(m)+1}: z^{m}\right)$ and $\left(I^{v(t)+1}: z^{t}\right)$ are contained in the maximal ideal, and by the Nakayama Lemma, we must have $z$ nilpotent, contradicting our assumptions.
Remark 3.3. It is not always true that the ring $\mathcal{B}$ is noetherian. For such an example see $[2$, Lemma 5.6].

Note that there are a few other natural conditions that ensure the periodic increase of the sequence $\{v(m)\}_{m}$. We comment on these below.
Remark 3.4. If the ring $\mathcal{G}(I)=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^{n} / I^{n+1}$ is reduced, then we have $v(m)=m v(1)$ for all $m$. In particular, the sequence $v(m+1)-v(m)$ is constant. Indeed, let $x \in J \backslash I^{v(1)+1}$. The image of $x$ in $I^{v(1)} / I^{v(1)+1} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(I)$ is nonzero, and since $\mathcal{G}(I)$ is reduced, so is the image of $x^{m}$ in $I^{m v(1)} / I^{m v(1)+1}$. This implies that $J^{m} \nsubseteq I^{m v(1)+1}$, and hence $v(m) \leq m v(1)$.

The point of view formulated in the above remark can be refined to include the case when $J$ is not necessarily principal, but it comes at the expense of strengthening the hypotheses.
Remark 3.5. Assume that $I$ is normal and $J=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)$. Then for every $m$ we have $v_{I}(J, m)=\min \left\{v_{I}\left(\left(a_{j}\right), m\right) \mid j=1, \ldots, s\right\}$. Indeed, if $n:=\min \left\{v_{I}\left(\left(a_{j}\right), m\right) \mid j=1, \ldots, s\right\}$, then $a_{j}^{m} \in I^{n}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, s$. This implies that $J^{m} \subseteq \overline{J^{m}}=\overline{\left(a_{1}^{m}, \ldots, a_{s}^{m}\right)} \subseteq \overline{I^{n}}=I^{n}$,
so $v_{I}(J, m) \geq n$. On the other hand, if $v_{I}(J, m)>n$, we have $J^{m} \subseteq I^{v_{I}\left(\left(a_{j}\right), m\right)+1}$ for some $j$ and hence $a_{j}^{m} \in I^{v_{I}\left(\left(a_{j}\right), m\right)+1}$, a contradiction. If $I$ is normal and all the rings $\bigoplus_{m, n}\left(a_{j}^{m}\right) \cap I^{n}$ are noetherian $(j=1, \ldots, s)$, by Proposition 3.2 we obtain that there exists $t_{j}$ such that $v_{I}\left(\left(a_{j}\right), m+t_{j}\right)=v_{I}\left(\left(a_{j}\right), m\right)+v_{I}\left(\left(a_{j}\right), t_{j}\right)$ for $m \geq t_{j}$. If we have $t_{1}=t_{2}=\ldots=t_{s}=t$ (the sequences $v_{I}\left(\left(a_{j}\right), m\right)$ increase eventually in a periodic way with the same period), then we have $v_{I}(J, m+t)=v_{I}(J, m)+v_{I}(J, t)$ for $m \geq t$. Indeed, by the above observation, $v_{I}(J, m+t)=v_{I}\left(\left(a_{j}\right), m+t_{j}\right)$ for some $j$, and hence $v_{I}(J, m+t)=v_{I}\left(\left(a_{j}\right), m\right)+v_{I}\left(\left(a_{j}\right), t\right) \leq$ $v_{I}(J, m)+v_{I}(J, t)$. The other inequality always holds.

Note that in the situation described in Remark 3.4, when $\mathcal{G}(I)=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^{n} / I^{n+1}$ is reduced (which implies that $I$ is normal) we have $t_{1}=t_{2}=\ldots=t_{s}=1$.

Our final observation introduces a bigraded ring associated to the ideals $J$ and $I$ that can be used in examining the periodicity of the rate of change of the sequence $\{v(m)\}_{m}$.
Remark 3.6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the ring $\bigoplus_{m \geq 0, n \geq 0} F_{m, n}$, where $F_{m, n}=J^{m} \cap I^{n} / J^{m} \cap I^{n+1}$, with the multiplication defined naturally such that $F_{m, n} F_{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}} \subseteq F_{m+m^{\prime}, n+n^{\prime}}$. Let $F_{m}=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} F_{m, n}$. Note that $F_{m}$ is a filtration on $\mathcal{G}(I)=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^{n} / I^{n+1}$ and $F_{m, n}=0$ for $n<v(m)$, while $F_{m, v(m)} \neq 0$ for all $m$. As in the above remark, one can check that $v(m+t)=v(m)+v(t)$ is equivalent to $F_{m, v(m)} F_{t, v(t)} \neq 0$.

So, if there exists $t$ such that $F_{t, v(t)}$ contains a nonzerodivisor on $\mathcal{C}$, then $v(m+t)=$ $v(m)+v(t)$ for all $m$. However, note that $\mathcal{C}$ a domain implies that $F_{0}=\mathcal{G}(I)$, the associated graded ring of $I$, is a domain as well, and then Remark 3.4 applies.

## 4. Computations

In this section we describe a method of determining $L_{J}(I)=\inf \left\{m / n \mid J^{m} \subseteq I^{n}\right\}$ (and $\left.l_{I}(J)=1 / L_{J}(I)\right)$ for two monomial ideals $I$ and $J$ in a polynomial ring $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]$ over a field $k$. Whenever $J=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)$, one has $L_{J}(I)=\max \left\{L_{\left(a_{j}\right)}(I) \mid j=1, \ldots, s\right\}([8$, Theorem 2]), so we may assume that $J$ is a principal ideal. Let $I=\left(x_{1}^{b_{i 1}} x_{2}^{b_{i 2}} \ldots x_{r}^{b_{i r}} \mid i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, t)$ and $J=\left(x_{1}^{c_{1}} x_{2}^{c_{2}} \ldots x_{r}^{c_{r}}\right)$.

First observe that $J^{m} \subseteq I^{n}$ if and only if there exist nonnegative integers $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{t}$ with $y_{1}+\ldots+y_{t}=n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{t} b_{i j} y_{i} \leq c_{j} m \quad \text { for all } \quad j=1, \ldots, r \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $B_{i j}=\left(1 / c_{j}\right) b_{i j}, z_{i}=y_{i} /\left(y_{1}+\ldots+y_{t}\right)=y_{i} / n$ and $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{t}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}^{t}$.
So $J^{m} \subseteq I^{n}$ if and only if there exist $z_{i}=y_{i} / n$ with $y_{1}+\ldots+y_{t}=n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m / n \geq \frac{1}{n c_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{t} b_{i j} y_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i j} z_{i} \text { for all } j=1, \ldots, r \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the function $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \alpha(z)=\max _{1 \leq j \leq r}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i j} z_{i}\right\}$ and the subsets of the rationals $\Lambda_{1}=\left\{m / n \mid J^{m} \subseteq I^{n}\right\}$ and $\Lambda_{2}=\left\{\alpha(z) \mid z_{1}, \ldots, z_{t} \in \mathbb{Q} \geq 0, z_{1}+\ldots+z_{t}=1\right\}$. We will prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \Lambda_{1}=\inf \Lambda_{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality $\geq$ follows from (4.2). For the other inequality, we will show that $\Lambda_{2} \subseteq \Lambda_{1}$. Let $\alpha(z) \in \Lambda_{2}$ with $z_{i}=p_{i} / q\left(1 \leq i \leq t, p_{1}+\ldots+p_{t}=q\right.$, and $p_{i}, q$ nonnegative integers $)$. The coefficients $B_{i j}$ are rationals, so after clearing the denominators we obtain $\alpha(z)=h / l q$
for some nonnegative integers $h, l$. By (4.2), since $z_{i}=l p_{i} / l q$ for all $i$, we have $h / l q \in \Lambda_{1}$, which finishes the proof of (4.3).

Note that $\inf \Lambda_{2}=\inf \left\{\alpha(z) \mid z_{1}, \ldots, z_{t} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, z_{1}+\ldots+z_{t}=1\right\}$, so we need to minimize the function

$$
\alpha(z)=\max \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i j} z_{i} \mid j=1, \ldots, r\right\}
$$

subject to the constraints

$$
z_{1}, \ldots, z_{t} \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad z_{1}+\ldots+z_{t}=1
$$

Let $\Delta_{k}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{t} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i k} z_{i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i j} z_{i}\right.$ for all $\left.j \neq k\right\}$. Clearly $\Delta_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Delta_{r}=\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{t}$, so it is enough to minimize the function $\alpha$ on each $\Delta_{k}$.

In conclusion, for each $k=1, \ldots, r$, the problem reduces to minimizing the objective function

$$
\alpha(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i k} z_{i}
$$

subject to the constraints

$$
\begin{gathered}
z_{1}, \ldots, z_{t} \geq 0, \quad z_{1}+\ldots+z_{t}=1 \quad \text { and } \\
\sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i k} z_{i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{t} B_{i j} z_{i} \quad \text { for all } j \neq k .
\end{gathered}
$$

This is a classical problem linear programming problem which can be algorithmically solved using the simplex method.

Remark 4.1. In general, the limits $l_{I}(J)$ and $L_{j}(I)$ need not be reached by an element of the sequences $\left\{v_{I}(J, m) / m\right\}_{m}$ and $\left\{w_{J}(I, n) / n\right\}_{n}$, respectively. However, in the monomial case, as the procedure described above shows, there exists a pair $(m, n)$ with $J^{m} \subseteq I^{n}$ and $L_{J}(I)=n / m$.
Example 4.2. Let $A=k[x, y]$ and $I=\left(x^{3}, x^{2} y, y^{2}\right), J=\left(x^{3} y^{7}\right)$. In this case, $b_{11}=3, b_{12}=$ $0, b_{21}=2, b_{22}=1, b_{31}=0, b_{32}=2, c_{1}=3, c_{2}=7$ and $B_{11}=3 / 3=1, B_{12}=0 / 7=0, B_{21}=$ $2 / 3, B_{22}=1 / 7, B_{31}=0, B_{32}=2 / 7$. Then

$$
\Delta_{1}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{3} \mid z_{1}+(2 / 3) z_{2} \geq(1 / 7) z_{2}+(2 / 7) z_{3}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{2}=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{3} \mid(1 / 7) z_{2}+(2 / 7) z_{3} \geq z_{1}+(2 / 3) z_{2}\right\} .
$$

By using a computer algebra system that has the simplex method implemented, one can obtain that the minimum on each of the sets $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ is $2 / 9$, and hence $L_{J}(I)=2 / 9$.

In fact, the minimum can occur only at the intersection of various regions $\Delta_{k}$ (in our case on $\Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}$ ), for there are no critical points in the interior of $\Delta_{k}$.
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