

Lecture 14: Krull's Theorems

1 Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem

Lemma 1.1. *Let R be a Noetherian ring and P a prime ideal. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P^{(n)} = P^n R_P \cap R$. Then*

$$P^{(n)} R_P = (P R_P)^n.$$

Proof. Clearly, $P^{(n)} \subseteq P^n R_P$, so $P^{(n)} R_P \subseteq P^n R_P = (P R_P)^n$.

Conversely, let $a/s \in P^n R_P = (P R_P)^n$, with $a \in P^n$, $s \notin P$. But then $a \in P^{(n)}$ and so $a/s = a \cdot 1/s$ belongs to $P^{(n)} R_P$.

□

Theorem 1.2 (Krull's PIT). *A minimal prime Q over a principal ideal xR in a Noetherian ring R has height less or equal to 1.*

Proof. Assume there is a chain $P_0 \subset P \subset Q$ a chain of prime ideals with $xR \subseteq Q$ minimal. Consider R_Q , a local ring, and $Q R_Q$ its maximal ideal, and all relevant data is preserved. We can assume (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local, Noetherian, $xR \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ is minimal, and $P_0 \subset P \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Replace R by R/P_0 . Then R/P_0 is local, and since $P_0 \subset P \subset \mathfrak{m}$, we have $0 \subset P/P_0 \subset \mathfrak{m}/P_0$, and $\bar{x} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}/P_0$. Also, (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a domain, with $xR \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, minimal, and $0 \subset P \subset \mathfrak{m}$. If we can show $P = 0$, a contradiction, we are done. We know $P^{(t)} = P^t R_P \cap R \leq R$, and $P^{(t)}$ are P -primary for every t . Now look at R/xR . Since \mathfrak{m}/xR is the only prime ideal in R/xR we conclude that R/xR is Artinian.

Next consider $\overline{P^{(t)}}$ in R/xR , a descending chain of ideals, so $\exists n$ such that $\overline{P^{(t)}} = \overline{P^{(t+1)}}$ for all $t \geq n$, because R/xR is Artinian. Then $P^{(t)} + xR = P^{(t+1)} + xR$. For all $v \in P^{(t)}$, there is $w \in P^{(t+1)}$ such that $v = w + xr$. So $v - w = xr \in P^{(t)}$. But $x \notin P = \text{Rad}(P^{(t)})$, so $r \in P^{(t)}$ by the definition of a primary ideal, which implies $P^{(t)} \subseteq P^{(t+1)} + xP^{(t)}$. Therefore,

$$\frac{P^{(t)}}{P^{(t+1)}} = x \frac{P^{(t)}}{P^{(t+1)}}.$$

By NAK, we have $P^{(t)} = P^{(t+1)} = P^{(n)}$ for all $t \geq n$. Let $J = \bigcap P^{(t)} = P^{(n)}$. Remember that R is a domain, so $R \rightarrow R_P$ is injective. Hence $J = \bigcap P^{(t)} \subseteq \bigcap P^{(t)} R_P$. Then in R_P , $P^{(t)} R_P = (P R_P)^t$ (by the above lemma), and so $J \subseteq \bigcap (P R_P)^t = 0$, so $0 = J = P^{(n)}$, which implies $P^n \subseteq P^{(n)} = 0$, and thus $P = 0$. \square

2 Krull's height theorem

Theorem 2.1 (HT). *Let R be a noetherian ring. Let I be an ideal with n generators. Let P be a prime ideal of R such that P is minimal among the prime ideals containing I . Then $\text{ht}(I) \leq n$.*

Proof. Let $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Localize at P and note that therefore one can assume that R is local with maximal ideal equal to P . Since P is a minimal prime over I and also a maximal ideal, we see that $P = \text{Rad}(I)$.

Let now Q a prime ideal such that the chain $Q \subsetneq P$ is saturated. Let us show that any such ideal Q is a prime minimal over an ideal generated by $n - 1$ elements. By inductive hypothesis, we get that $\text{ht}(Q) \leq n - 1$ and so $\text{ht}(P) \leq n$.

By hypothesis Q cannot contain all x_i and so let us say $x_1 \notin Q$. Then P is minimal over $Q + x_1 R$, so $\text{Rad}(Q + x_1 R) = P$. Hence for all $i \geq 2$, there exist $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_i \in R$ and $y_i \in Q$ such that

$$x_i^{n_i} = r_i x_1 + y_i.$$

We can note that every prime contains (x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) if and only if it contains $\text{Rad}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, and so $\text{Rad}(x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \text{Rad}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = P$. Therefore the image of P in $R/(y_2, \dots, y_n)$ is minimal over the class of x_1 and therefor by PIT it has height at most 1. So, the image of Q must be a minimal prime. Lifting back to R we get that Q is minimal over (y_2, \dots, y_n) , an $n - 1$ generated ideal. \square

Since any chain of prime ideals in a Noetherian ring descending from a prime P is bounded by above by its minimal number of generators we conclude the following

consequence.

Corollary 2.2. *In a Noetherian ring the prime ideals satisfy the (DCC) condition.*

The following remark follows easily from HT as well.

Remark 2.3. *The ideal (x_1, \dots, x_n) has height equal to n in $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, k field.*

We have the following converse of Krull's height theorem:

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be a Noetherian ring. Any prime ideal of height n is minimal over an ideal generated by n elements.*

Proof. Consider a chain of length n of prime ideals descending from P :

$$P = P_n \supset P_{n-1} \supset \dots \supset P_1 \supset P_0.$$

We need to find x_1, \dots, x_n such that P is minimal over (x_1, \dots, x_n) .

We claim that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ we can find x_1, \dots, x_i in P_i such that $\text{ht}(x_1, \dots, x_i) = i$ and P_i is minimal over (x_1, \dots, x_i) .

First note that $\text{ht}(P_i) = i$. Let us consider the case $i = 1$.

Let $x \in P_1$ but not in any of the minimal primes of R (note that P_0 is one of them and that P_1 is not contained in the union of the minimal primes of R by the Prime Avoidance Lemma). Then P_1 is minimal over xR , otherwise there exists another prime, say Q , minimal over x and strictly contained in P_1 . But Q cannot be minimal in R because it contains x , therefore there exists a minimal prime, Q' , strictly contained in Q , which gives $\text{ht}(P_1) \geq 2$, false.

Assume that we have chose x_1, \dots, x_i in P_i such that $\text{ht}(x_1, \dots, x_i) = i$ and P_i is minimal over (x_1, \dots, x_i) .

By the Prime Avoidance Lemma we know that $P_{i+1} \not\subseteq \cup Q$, where Q runs over the finite set of minimal primes of (x_1, \dots, x_i) , otherwise by Krull's height theorem,

$\text{ht}(P_{i+1}) \leq i$. We can choose an element $x_{i+1} \in P_{i+1}$ but not in any minimal prime of x_1, \dots, x_i .

Let us consider P' a prime of R containing x_1, \dots, x_{i+1} . Clearly, $\text{ht}(P') \leq i + 1$ by Krull's height theorem. But P' contains x_1, \dots, x_i and so it contains one of the minimal primes of (x_1, \dots, x_i) , which by hypothesis has height i . Since P' is not a minimal prime of (x_1, \dots, x_i) because it contains x_{i+1} we conclude that $\text{ht}(P') > i$. In conclusion, $\text{ht}(P') = i + 1$. This can be applied to P_{i+1} and any minimal prime of (x_1, \dots, x_{i+1}) , and therefore it completes our induction step. \square

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local Noetherian ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Since $\dim(R) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$, we know that $\dim(R)$ is finite. Say $\dim(R) = n$. Then there exists a sequence of elements x_1, \dots, x_n such that \mathfrak{m} is minimal over (x_1, \dots, x_n) .

Proposition 2.5. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local Noetherian ring and I an ideal of R .*

Then $\text{Rad}(I) = \mathfrak{m}$ if and only if \mathfrak{m} is minimal over I if and only if I is \mathfrak{m} -primary.

Proof. Recall that \mathfrak{m} is the only maximal ideal of R .

Since the radical of an ideal equals the intersection of all minimal primes containing it, we see that the first equivalence is trivial.

For the second one, note that I \mathfrak{m} -primary means that $\text{Rad}(I) = \mathfrak{m}$ since any ideal that has its radical equal to a maximal ideal is primary. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local Noetherian ring. Then*

$$\dim(R) = \min\{n : \text{there exists } x_1, \dots, x_n \in R \text{ with } \mathfrak{m} \text{ minimal over } (x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$$

Proof. Let n_0 equal the right hand side of the equality to be proven.

We have seen that $\dim(R) \geq n_0$.

Assume that the equality is strict. Then there exists a sequence of elements x_1, \dots, x_n such that \mathfrak{m} is minimal over (x_1, \dots, x_n) and $n < \dim(R)$. But \mathfrak{m} minimal over (x_1, \dots, x_n) implies that $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{m}) \leq n < \dim(R) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$, which is impossible.

□